

LAPACK Working Notes:

LAPACK Working Note #1: J. Demmel and J. Dongarra and J. Du Croz and A. Green and S. Hammarling and D. Sorensen, *Prospectus for the Development of a Linear Algebra Library for High-Performance Computers*, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-97, September, 1987.

LAPACK Working Note #2: J. Dongarra and S. Hammarling and D. Sorensen, *Reduction of Matrices to Condensed Forms for Eigenvalue Computations*, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-99, September, 1987.

LAPACK Working Note #3: J. Demmel and W. Kahan, *Computing Small Singular Values of Bidiagonal Matrices with Guaranteed High Relative Accuracy*, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-110, February, 1988.

LAPACK Working Note #4: J. Demmel, J. Du Croz, S. Hammarling and D. Sorensen, *Guides for the Design of Symmetric Eigenroutines, SVD and Iterative Refinement and Condition Estimation for Linear Systems*, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-111, February, 1988.

LAPACK Working Note #5: C. Bischof, J. Demmel, J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, J. J. Baum, S. Hammarling and D. Sorensen *Provisional Contents*, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-88-38, September, 1988.

LAPACK Working Note #6: O. Brewer, J. Dongarra and D. Sorensen *Tools to Aid the Analysis of Memory Access Patterns for Fortran Programs*, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-119, June, 1988.

References

[1]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

- driver - means that these are driver routines. We are likely to provide this functionality.
- Note A- we plan to use orthogonal transformations throughout, not general transformations.

SPOFA	SPOTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite matrix.
SPOSL	SPOTRS	solves the symmetric positive definite system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SPOCO or SPOFA.
SPPCO	SPPTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite matrix stored in packed form and estimates the condition number.
SPPDI	SPPTRI	computes the determinant and inverse of a symmetric positive definite matrix using the factors computed by SPPCO or SPPFA.
SPPFA	SPPTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite matrix stored in packed form.
SPPSL	SPPTRS	solves the symmetric positive definite system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SPPCO or SPPFA.
SPTSOL	SPTSOL	given a positive definite tridiagonal matrix and a right hand side will find the solution.
SQRDC	SGEQRF	uses Householder transformations to compute the QR factorization of an n by p matrix X . column pivoting based on the 2-norms of the reduced columns may be performed at the users option.
SQRSL	SGEQRS	applies the output of SQRDC to compute coordinate transformations, projections, and least squares solutions.
SSICO	SSYTRF	factors a symmetric matrix by elimination with symmetric pivoting and estimates the condition number.
SSIDI	SSYTRI	computes the determinant, inertia and inverse of a symmetric matrix using the factors from SSIFA.
SSIFA	SSYTRF	factors a symmetric matrix by elimination with symmetric pivoting.
SSISL	SSYTRS	solves the symmetric system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SSIFA.
SSPCO	SSPTRF	factors a symmetric matrix stored in packed form by elimination with symmetric pivoting and estimates the condition of the matrix.
SSPDI	SSPTRI	computes the determinant, inertia and inverse of a symmetric matrix using the factors from SSPFA, where the matrix is stored in packed form.
SSPFA	SSPTRF	factors a symmetric matrix stored in packed form by elimination with symmetric pivoting.
SSPSL	SSPTRS	solves the symmetric system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SSPFA.
SSVDC	driver	is a subroutine to reduce a n by p matrix X by orthogonal transformations u and v to diagonal form.
STRCO	STRCON	estimates the condition of a triangular matrix.
STRDI	STRTRI	computes the determinant and inverse of a triangular matrix.
STRSL	STRTRS	solves systems of the form $TA^T x = b$ or $TA^T x = b$ where T is a triangular matrix of order n .

LI NPACK	LAPACK	Function
SCHDC	SSYTRF	computes the Cholesky decomposition of a positive matrix. a pivoting option allows the user to estimate condition of a positive definite matrix or determinant of a positive semi definite matrix.
SCHDD	SPOTRU	downdates an augmented Cholesky decomposition or triangular factor of an augmented qr decomposition
SCHEX	SPOTRX	updates the Cholesky factorization
SCHUD	SPOTRU	updates an augmented Cholesky decomposition of the triangular part of an augmented qr decomposition.
SGBCO	SGBTRF	factors a band matrix by Gaussian
	SGBCON	elimination and estimates the condition of the matrix
SGBDI	SGBTRI	computes the determinant of a band matrix using the factors computed by SGBCO or SGBFA. if the inverse is needed, use SGBSL n times.
SGBFA	SGBTRF	factors a band matrix by elimination.
SGBSL	SGBTRS	solves the band system $\bar{A}x = \bar{b}$ or A using the factors computed by SGBCO or SGBFA.
SGECO	SGETRF	factors a matrix by Gaussian elimination
	SGECON	and estimates the condition of the matrix.
SGEDI	SGETRI	computes the determinant and inverse of a matrix using the factors computed by SGECO or SGEFA.
SGEFA	SGETRF	factors a matrix by Gaussian elimination.
SGESL	SGETRS	solves the system $Ax^T = b$ or A using the factors computed by SGECO or SGEFA.
SGTSL	SGTSOL	given a general tridiagonal matrix and a right hand side will find the solution.
SPBCO	SPBTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite
	SPBCON	matrix stored in band form and estimates the condition
SPBDI		computes the determinant of a symmetric positive definite band matrix using the factors computed by SPBCO or SPBFA.
SPBFA	SPBTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite matrix stored in band form.
SPBSL	SPBTRS	solves the symmetric positive definite band system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SPBCO or SPBFA.
SPOCO	SPOTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite
	SPOCON	matrix and estimates the condition of the matrix.
SPODI	SPOTRI	computes the determinant and inverse of a certain symmetric positive definite matrix using the factors computed by SPOCO, SPOFA or SQRDC

SVD	driver	Compute the singular value decomposition
TINVT	SSTEIN	Compute the eigenvectors corresponding to given eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix, using the inverse iteration method
TQL1	SSTEQR	Compute all eigenvalues using the QL algorithm
TQL2	SSTEQR	Compute all eigenvalues and eigenvectors using the QL method; if the eigenpairs of a symmetric matrix are desired, input the similarity transformation computed by TRED2
TQLRAT	SSTEQR	Determine all eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix by the rational QL method
TRBAK1	SORMUL	Forms the eigenvectors of a real symmetric matrix of that symmetric tridiagonal matrix determined by TRED2
TRBAK3	SORMUL	Forms the eigenvectors of a real symmetric matrix of that symmetric tridiagonal matrix determined by TRED3
TRED1	SSYTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal form using Householder transformations
TRED2	SSYTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal form using Householder transformations and the similarity transformation that yields the tridiagonal matrix; SORMUL also constructed
TRED3	SSPTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal form using Householder transformations; input matrix stored in packed form
TRIDIB	SSTEBM	Compute those eigenvalues between specified indices using the Sturm sequence property
TSTURM	SSTEBM	Compute those eigenvalues in a specified interval using the Sturm sequence property; the corresponding eigenvectors are computed using the inverse iteration method
	SSTEIN	

RATQR	S STEBM	Determine extreme eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix using the QR method with Newton corrections
REBAK	(STRSM)	Given the eigenvectors of the symmetric matrix output by REDUC or REDUC2, compute the eigenvectors corresponding to the original generalized eigenproblem
REBAKB	(STRMM)	Given the eigenvectors of the symmetric matrix output by REDUC2, compute the eigenvectors corresponding to the original eigenproblem $ABx = \lambda Bx$
REDUC	SSYGST	Reduce the symmetric generalized eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$, where B is positive definite to the standard symmetric eigenproblem using the Cholesky factorization of B
REDUC2	SSYGST	Reduce the eigenvalue problem $ABx = \lambda x$, where both A and B are symmetric and either A or B is positive definite to the standard symmetric eigenproblem using the Cholesky factorization
RG	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of general matrix (driver routine)
RGG	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of general generalized system $Ax = \lambda Bx$ (driver routine)
RS	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of symmetric matrix (driver routine)
RSB	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of symmetric band matrix (driver routine)
RS G	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of symmetric generalized system $Ax = \lambda Bx$, where A is symmetric and B is positive definite (driver routine)
RS GAB	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of symmetric generalized system $ABx = \lambda x$, where A is symmetric and B is positive definite (driver routine)
RS GBA	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of symmetric generalized system $BAx = \lambda x$, where A is symmetric and B is positive definite (driver routine)
RSM	driver	Compute some eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of symmetric matrix (driver routine)
RSP	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of symmetric matrix stored in packed form (driver routine)
RST	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of symmetric tridiagonal matrix (driver routine)
RT	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of tridiagonal matrix $f_i + q_i x_i + r_i x_{i+1}$ for every i (driver routine)

HTRI B3	CUNMUL	Given eigenvectors of the real symmetric tridiagonal matrix by HTRI D3, compute the corresponding eigenvectors matrix
HTRI BK	CUNMUL	Given eigenvectors of the real symmetric tridiagonal matrix by HTRI DI, compute the corresponding eigenvectors matrix
HTRI D3	CHPTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal matrix using Householder matrices; input matrix stored in packed form
HTRI DI	CHETRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal matrix using Householder matrices
IMTQL1	SSTEQR	Compute eigenvalues using the implicit QL method
	or	
	SSTEDC	
IMTQL2	SSTEQR	Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors using the implicit QL method;
	or	
	SSTEDC	
IMTQLV	SSTEQR	Compute eigenvalues using implicit QL method while input matrix
INVT	SHSEIN	Compute eigenvectors corresponding to given eigenvalues of an upper Hessenberg matrix, using inverse iteration
MINFIT	driver	For the linear system $Ax = b$, compute the singular value decomposition $A^T = QSP^T$ the vector b
ORTBAK	SORMUL	Given eigenvectors of the upper Hessenberg matrix by ORTHES, compute the corresponding eigenvectors of the original matrix
ORTHES	SGEHRD	Reduce to upper Hessenberg form using Householder transformations
ORTRAN	SORGEN	Use the output of ORTHES to construct the similarity transformation that generates the upper Hessenberg form
QZHES	SGEQRF	Reduce the generalized eigenproblem to standard form, where one matrix is upper Hessenberg and the other matrix is upper triangular
	SORMUL	
	SGGHRD	
QZIT	SHGEQR	Given the generalized eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$ where A is upper Hessenberg and B is upper triangular, reduce A to quasi-upper triangular form using the implicit QL method and compute the eigenvalues for the generalized eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$, where A is quasi-upper triangular and B is upper triangular
+		
QZVAL		
QZVEC	STGEVC (STRMM)	Given the eigenvalues for the generalized eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$, where A is quasi-upper triangular and B is upper triangular, compute the corresponding eigenvectors

6 Appendix B

6.1 LINPACK and EISPACK Counterparts

EISPACK	LAPACK	Function
BAKVEC		Invert the balancing made by FIGI ()
BALANC	SGEBAL	Apply balancing transformations
BALBAK	SGEBAK	Invert the balancing transformation made by BALANC
BANDR	SSBTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal form
BANDV		Given approximate eigenvalues of a band matrix, use to obtain corresponding eigenvectors
BISECT	SSTEBM	Determine eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix specified interval using Sturm sequences
BQR		Determine some eigenvalues using the QR method
CBABK2	CGEBAK	Invert the balancing transformation made by CBAL ()
CBAL	CGEBAL	Apply balancing transformations
CG	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of general matrix (driver routine)
CH	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of Hermitian matrix (driver routine)
CINVT	CHSEIN	Given approximate eigenvalues, use inverse iteration to compute corresponding eigenvectors
COMBAK	Note A	Given eigenvectors of upper Hessenberg matrix computed by COMHES (), compute corresponding eigenvectors of the original matrix.
COMHES	Note A	Reduce to upper Hessenberg form using elimination
COMLR	Note A	Compute all eigenvalues using modified LR algorithm
COMLR2	Note A	Compute all eigenvalues and eigenvectors using modified LR algorithm
COMQR	CHSEQR	Compute all eigenvalues using QR algorithm
COMQR2	CUNGEN	Compute all eigenvalues and eigenvectors using QR algorithm
	CHSEQR	
	CTREVC	
	(CTRMM)	
CORTB	CUNMUL	Given eigenvectors of upper Hessenberg matrix computed by COMHES, compute corresponding eigenvectors of original matrix
CORTH	CGEHRD	Reduce to upper Hessenberg form using Householder method
ELMBAK	Note A	Given eigenvectors of the upper Hessenberg matrix computed by ELMHES, compute corresponding eigenvectors of original matrix
ELMHES	Note A	Reduce to upper Hessenberg form using elimination
ELTRAN	Note A	Use the output of ELMHES to construct the similarity transformation that generates the upper Hessenberg form
FIGI		Use a balancing transformation to symmetrize a nonsymmetric matrix, which is then reduced to symmetric tridiagonal form, where $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for every i
FIGI2		Similar to FIGI except that the balancing transformation is not used
HQR	SHSEQR	Compute all eigenvalues using the implicit QR method
HQR2	SHSEQR	Compute all eigenvalues and eigenvectors using the implicit QR method
	STREVC	
	(STRMM)	

```

        CALL SGEMM( 'No transpose', 'Transpose',
$           N - J - JB + 1, JB, J - 1,
$           -ONE, A( J + JB, 1 ), LDA, A( J, 1 ), LDA,
$           ONE, A( J + JB, J ), LDA)
*
*       Compute subdiagonal block of L.
*
        CALL STRSM( 'Right', 'Lower', 'Transpose', 'Non-unit',
$           N - J - JB + 1, JB, ONE, A( J, J ), LDA,
$           A( J + JB, J ), LDA )
20    CONTINUE
      ENDIF
      GO TO 40
*
30    CONTINUE
      INFO = INFO + J - 1
*
40    CONTINUE
      RETURN
*
*       End of SPOTRF
      END

```

```

*
      CALL SPOTF2( 'Upper', JB, A( J, J ), LDA, INFO )
      IF( INFO.NE.0 ) GO TO 30
*
*      Update superdiagonal block.
*
      CALL SGEMM( 'Transpose', 'No Transpose',
$           JB, N - J - JB + 1, J - 1,
$           -ONE, A( 1, J ), LDA, A( 1, J + JB ), LDA,
$           ONE, A( J, J + JB ), LDA)
*
*      Compute superdiagonal block of U.
*
      CALL STRSM( 'Left', 'Upper', 'Transpose', 'Non-unit',
$           JB, N - J - JB + 1, ONE, A( J, J ), LDA,
$           A( J, J + JB ), LDA )
10    CONTINUE
      ELSE
*
*      Compute the Cholesky factorization of a symmetric matrix
*      stored in the lower part of the array.
*
      DO 20 J = 1, N, NB
          JB = MIN( NB, N - J + 1 )
*
*      Update diagonal block.
*
      CALL SSYRK( 'Lower', 'No transpose', JB, J - 1,
$           -ONE, A( J, 1 ), LDA, ONE, A( J, J ), LDA )
*
*      Factorize diagonal block and test for
*      non-positive-definiteness.
*
      CALL SPOTF2( 'Lower', JB, A( J, J ), LDA, INFO )
      IF( INFO.NE.0 ) GO TO 30
*
*      Update subdiagonal block.
*

```

```

INFO = 0
*
* Quick return if possible.
*
IF( N.EQ.0 ) RETURN
IF( ( .NOT.LSAME( UPLO , 'U' ) ).AND.
$ ( .NOT.LSAME( UPLO , 'L' ) ) )THEN
    INFO = -1
ELSE IF( N.LT.0 )THEN
    INFO = -2
ELSE IF( LDA.LT.MAX( 1, N ) )THEN
    INFO = -4
END IF
IF( INFO.NE.0 )THEN
    CALL XERBLA( 'SPOTRF', -INFO )
    RETURN
END IF
*
* Determine the block size for this environment.
*
CALL ENVIR( 'Get', NB )
IF( NB.EQ.1 ) NB = N
*
IF( LSAME( UPLO, 'U' ) )THEN
*
*   Compute the Cholesky factorization of a symmetric matrix
*   stored in the upper part of the array.
*
DO 10 J = 1, N, NB
    JB = MIN( NB, N - J + 1 )
*
*   Update diagonal block.
*
CALL SSYRK( 'Upper' , 'Transpose', JB, J - 1,
$         -ONE, A( 1, J ), LDA, ONE, A( J, J ), LDA )
*
*   Factorize diagonal block and test for
*   non-positive-definiteness.

```

```

*           On entry, A specifies the array which contains the matrix
*           being factored.
*           On exit, the array A is overwritten by the
*           Cholesky factorization. The factorization can be written as
*           either  $A = L*L'$  where L is a lower triangular matrix
*           or as  $A = U'*U$  where U is an upper triangular matrix.
*
* LDA      - INTEGER.
*           On entry, LDA specifies the first dimension of A as declared
*           in the calling (sub) program.
*           LDA must be at least  $\max( 1, N )$ .
*           Unchanged on exit.
*
* INFO     - INTEGER.
*           On exit, a value of 0 indicates a normal return.
*           A positive value K indicates that the leading minor of
*           order K is not positive definite, which is an error
*           condition that causes the subroutine to end.
*           A negative value, say -K, indicates the K-th argument has an
*           illegal value.
*
* .. Parameters ..
REAL          ONE
PARAMETER     ( ONE = 1.0E+0 )
* .. Local scalars ..
INTEGER       J, JB, NB
* .. External functions ..
LOGICAL       LSAME
EXTERNAL      LSAME
* .. External subroutines ..
EXTERNAL      ENVIR, SGEMM, SPOTF2, SSYRK, STRSM, XERBLA
* .. Intrinsic functions ..
INTRINSIC     MAX, MIN
* ..
* .. Executable Statements ..
*
* Test the input parameters.
*

```

5.2 SPOTRF

```
      SUBROUTINE SPOTRF( UPLO, N, A, LDA, INFO )
*
*  -- LAPACK routine --
*    Argonne National Laboratory
*    September 14, 1988
*
*    .. Scalar arguments ..
      CHARACTER*1      UPLO
      INTEGER          N, LDA, INFO
*
*    .. Array arguments ..
      REAL             A( LDA, * )
*
* Purpose
* =====
*
*    SPOTRF computes the Cholesky factorization of a symmetric
*    positive definite matrix A.
*    This is the Level 3 BLAS version of the algorithm, reducing NB
*    columns at a time.
*
* Arguments
* =====
*
*    UPLO   - CHARACTER*1.
*             On entry, UPLO specifies whether the upper or lower
*             triangular part of the symmetric matrix A is stored.
*             UPLO = 'U' or 'u'   The upper triangle of A is stored.
*             UPLO = 'L' or 'l'   The lower triangle of A is stored.
*             Unchanged on exit.
*
*    N      - INTEGER.
*             On entry, N specifies the number of columns of the matrix
*             A . N must be at least zero.
*             Unchanged on exit.
*
*    A      - REAL          array of DIMENSION ( LDA, N ).
```

40 CONTINUE

RETURN

*

* End of SGETRF

END

```

        IF( IP.NE.I )
$         CALL SSWAP( JB, A( I, J ), LDA, A( IP, J ), LDA )
10    CONTINUE
*
*       Compute superdiagonal block of U.
*
        CALL STRSM( 'Left', 'Lower', 'No transpose', 'Unit', J - 1,
$             JB, ONE, A, LDA, A( 1, J ), LDA )
*
*       Update diagonal and subdiagonal blocks.
*
        CALL SGEMM( 'No transpose', 'No transpose', M - J + 1, JB,
$             J - 1, -ONE, A( J, 1 ), LDA, A( 1, J ), LDA, ONE,
$             A( J, J ), LDA )
*
*       Factorize diagonal and subdiagonal blocks and test for exact
*       singularity.
*
        CALL SGETF2( M - J + 1, JB, A( J, J ), LDA, IPIV( J ), INFO )
        DO 20 I = J, J + JB - 1
            IPIV( I ) = J - 1 + IPIV( I )
20    CONTINUE
        IF( INFO.EQ.0 ) THEN
*
*       Apply interchanges to previous blocks.
*
            DO 30 I = J, J + JB - 1
                IP = IPIV( I )
                IF( IP.NE.I )
$                 CALL SSWAP( J - 1, A( I, 1 ), LDA, A( IP, 1 ), LDA )
30    CONTINUE
        ELSE
*
*       If INFO is not zero, a zero pivot was found in SGETF2.
*       Correct the index returned from SGETF2 and go on.
*
            INFO = INFO + J - 1
        ENDIF

```



```

EXTERNAL          ENVIR, SGEMM, SGETF2, SSWAP, STRSM, XERBLA
*
.. Intrinsic functions ..
INTRINSIC          MAX, MIN
*
..
*
.. Executable Statements ..
*
Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting
*
*
Test the input parameters.
*
*
INFO = 0
*
*
Quick return if possible.
*
*
IF( M.EQ.0 .OR. N.EQ.0 ) RETURN
IF( M.LT.0 )THEN
    INFO = -1
ELSE IF( N.LT.0 )THEN
    INFO = -2
ELSE IF( LDA.LT.MAX( 1, M ) )THEN
    INFO = -4
END IF
IF( INFO.NE.0 )THEN
    CALL XERBLA( 'SGETRF', -INFO )
    RETURN
END IF
*
*
Determine the block size for this environment.
*
*
CALL ENVIR( 'Get', NB )
IF( NB.EQ.1 ) NB = N
*
*
DO 40 J = 1, N, NB
    JB = MIN( N - J + 1, NB )
*
*
Apply previous interchanges to current block.
*
*
DO 10 I = 1, J - 1
    IP = IPIV( I )

```

```

* N      - INTEGER.
*         On entry, N specifies the number of columns of the matrix
*         A . N must be at least zero.
*         Unchanged on exit.
*
* A      - REAL          array of DIMENSION ( LDA, N ).
*         On entry, A specifies the array which contains the matrix
*         being factored.
*         On exit, the array A is overwritten by the
*         LU factorization. The factorization can be written as
*         A = L*U where L is a product of permutation and unit lower
*         triangular matrices and U is an upper triangular matrix.
*
* LDA    - INTEGER.
*         On entry, LDA specifies the first dimension of A as declared
*         in the calling (sub) program.
*         LDA must be at least max( 1, M ).
*         Unchanged on exit.
*
* IPIV   - INTEGER          array of DIMENSION ( M ).
*         On exit, the array IPIV contains the pivot indices.
*
* INFO   - INTEGER.
*         On exit, a value of 0 indicates a normal return; a positive
*         value, say K, indicates that U(K,K) = 0.0 exactly.
*         This is not an error condition for this subroutine, but it
*         does indicate that SGETRS or SGETRI will divide by zero
*         if called. Use routine SGECON for a reliable indication of
*         singularity.
*         A negative value, say -K, indicates the Kth argument has an
*         illegal value.
*
* .. Parameters ..
REAL          ONE
PARAMETER    ( ONE = 1.0E+0 )
* .. Local scalars ..
INTEGER      I, IP, J, JB, NB
* .. External subroutines ..

```

5 Appendix A

We include here prototype code for two LAPACK routines. This code is included to show the typical style and structure of LAPACK routines. Other very particular routines are possible, and we make no claim that the variations give the best performance.

In addition to Level 3 BLAS, each routine calls an unblocked version of the algorithm (subroutines SGETF2 and SPOTF2).

5.1 SGETRF

```
      SUBROUTINE SGETRF( M, N, A, LDA, IPIV, INFO )
*
*  -- LAPACK routine --
*    Argonne National Laboratory
*    September 14, 1988
*
*    .. Scalar arguments ..
      INTEGER          M, N, LDA, INFO
*    .. Array arguments ..
      INTEGER          IPIV( * )
      REAL             A( LDA, * )
*
* Purpose
* =====
*
*    SGETRF computes the LU factorization of a general m-by-n
*    matrix A, using partial pivoting with row interchanges.
*    This is the Level 3 BLAS version of the algorithm, reducing NB
*    columns at a time.
*
* Arguments
* =====
*
*    M      - INTEGER.
*            On entry, M specifies the number of rows of the matrix
*            A .  M must be at least zero.
*            Unchanged on exit.
*
```

to record entry points and addresses as there is in the general SCHED. Moreover, all of the code will be in Fortran. Since there will on the four possibilities for different subroutines executing in parallel with an algorithm, a simple examination of cases will suffice to decide which is executed with respect to a given process descriptor.

Preferably a loop-based mechanism will be employed to get the general work subroutines executing in parallel. Critical sections will be controlled by a synchronization primitive if available on the given machine. A simple lock ("lockon" and "lockoff") are sufficient for this purpose but other equivalents might be used in their place. In keeping with the discussion of work spaces there will be no use of named common as was done in SCHEDULE. Instead the shared workspace will be passed as parameters and shared through calls as needed.

4.8 Mixed Language Programming

LAPACK will be coded in Fortran 77 and designed to be called from Fortran. However, we hope to gain experience of calling LAPACK from other programming languages, for example C or Ada, and to be able to give advice about it in the documentation.

Some LAPACK routines will also require access to values related to numbers on the machine, in order to avoid overflow or underflow by suitable values, BIG (the largest “safe” number in the machine) and TINY (the “safe” number in the machine) will be made available by a numeric enquiry routine. The relative machine precision, TINY can be computed in a reliable manner, but BIG cannot. Instead, the portable version will return a constant as 1.0E+35 for BIG that is safe for most known machines. This value could correspond to whatever machine is being used. The only disadvantage is a value less than the machine could permit will be that scaling is performed less than strictly necessary. In addition to the relative machine precision, the radix will also be made available, computed in a machine independent way.

4.7 Provision for Parallel Execution

The loop-based aspect of parallelism is generally straightforward. Currently give adequate support to the concept of loop-based parallelism by invoking this within the Level 3 BLAS and perhaps also within the Level 2 routines following the activities of the Parallel Computing Forum [23] led by computer vendors, software developers, national laboratories, and universities. Technical information and to document agreements on constructs for parallel applications for shared memory parallel processors. The Forum is planning a proposal for parallel Fortran constructs by the end of the summer.

In all the cases we are aware of when loop-based parallelism is implemented at a low level, subsequent invocations at a lower level of a nested loop are either queued to ensure a correct parallel execution as long as the machine mechanisms are used. Therefore, we do not expect to suffer from the problems with a user invoking parallelism at a level that is above a call to an L routine depends upon BLAS that also invoke parallelism.

Several of the algorithms we intend to implement will require more parallelism. These algorithms will rely upon the SCHEDULE routine to invoke parallelism. We refer to the work and ideas used in the remainder of this section. The simplifications to SCHEDULE will include changes in the layers of subroutine calls between the act of placing a process into a computational graph and its subsequent execution. It will also replace routines that were constructed for general use with ones that are specific to the algorithms that will reduce overhead involved with special cases and error checking to the general case but not in the specific algorithms that will arise in LAPACK. Generic “work” routines specific to each algorithm which will receive the arguments to identify and invoke a process by decoding integer arguments. There

block size. This raises a difficulty since the block size will vary in d and in any case will not be known by the user. Our proposal in such case user to supply a work array of length lw , say, where lw is also passed as routine, and lw is as large as is convenient; the routine can then comp and use $nbmax$ as an upper limit on the block size. Thus the block size than optimal if insufficient workspace has been provided, but our present that speed is comparatively insensitive to variations in block size on either side of the optimum.

4.5 Array Arguments

All array arguments will be declared as assumed-size arrays (last dim

```
REAL A(LDA,*), W(*)
```

This has two advantages over declarations as adjustable arrays such

```
REAL A(LDA,N), W(N)
```

- The routines can be called with $N=0$, without contravening the Fort
- For 2-dimensional arrays, the corresponding actual argument can element of a 2-dimensional array in the calling program, again with the standard.

There is one restriction of standard Fortran which we prefer not to not affect the way in which LAPACK routines are called, but does affect LAPACK routines, when lower level routines such as the Level 3 BLAS a standard requires that if a 2-dimensional array is declared as A(LDA array passed must be at least LDA elements long. This implies a cont standard if the actual argument is an element of the last column of the program, say A(I, N) with $I > 1$. We know of only one compiler which is cap this contravention. Rather than introduce special code to handle such the lower level routines will be compiled without these checks being p

4.6 Numerical machine-dependencies

Many LAPACK routines will require the value of the relative machine pre to make this available through an enquiry function where the value can reliable portable manner (or if an installer desires, a specific value We prefer explicit reference to an enquiry function, rather than att value in-line wherever it is needed, or relying on tests such as TEST.M

routine will be designed so that the block size can be specified by the effects of varying the block size can be studied.

On many machine architectures (for example, most scalar machines processor vector machines), block algorithms offer no advantage over a block algorithm executed with block size equal to 1 would have the same performance as the unblocked version of the same algorithm, but would be inefficient because of the large number of calls to Level 3 BLAS, where calls to Level 1 or 2 BLAS would be sufficient. For algorithms (for example, SGETRF and SPOTRF in Appendix A), setting the block size to n (or greater), where n is the order of the matrix, has the effect of the unblocked version (the whole matrix is treated as a single block). For algorithms (for example, those described in Working Note #2), this is not the case. To have a consistent convention, we shall ensure (by special code) that a routine will have an efficient unblocked version of each block algorithm.

Hitherto we have envisaged routines working with a fixed block size that can vary from one installation to another, possibly also from one routine to another. A sophisticated strategy is to allow the block size to vary dynamically with the algorithm - for example, allowing the block size to increase in order to keep constant the size of submatrices passed to Level 3 BLAS matrices. We are not sure whether or not dynamic blocking would offer significant benefits in performance, but that implementing it would involve hardly any extra complication in the code. An elaborate procedure would be required to determine a good dynamic block size for each machine. [11

4.4 Workspace

Many LAPACK routines will require workspace. We do not think that the automatic workspace allocation devised by Fox, O'Hara, and Schirby [1972] is suitable for LAPACK. It involves the use of a shared labeled COMMON block which is likely to cause difficulties on multi-tasking machines, and requires the user to use more workspace if the user wishes to use more than the default amount of workspace.

Therefore work arrays will need to be passed as arguments to LAPACK routines. A shortage of memory is not likely to be a serious constraint on the machines for which LAPACK is primarily targeted, we think it reasonable for a routine to use an amount of workspace equivalent to several vectors of length n , where n is the order of the matrix. We design routines to use more than the minimum possible amount of workspace if this significantly improves their performance. However, we shall allow a routine to use less workspace unless absolutely necessary.

A number of routines implementing block algorithms will require workspace to hold one block of columns of the matrix, that is, workspace of size n .

CALL SPOTRF ('Upper triangle', . . .)

The significant initial character may be in upper or lower case.

It will be permissible for the problem dimensions to be passed as zero. If the computation (or part of it) will be skipped. (See also section 5.5.) A zero will be regarded as an error.

Each 2-dimensional array argument will be immediately followed in by its leading dimension, whose name will have the form LD<array-name>

All documented routines will have a diagnostic argument INFO. (See

4.2 Error-handling

The diagnostic argument INFO will indicate the success or failure of the

- INFO = 0: successful termination
- INFO < 0: illegal value of argument - no computation performed
- INFO > 0: failure in the course of computation

All documented routines will check that input arguments such as N, M, and L are non-negative, even if the same checks are repeated by lower level routines. It is recommended that any error-message can name the routine that the user called, rather than the routine that he may be unaware of.

If an illegal value of the i -th argument is detected, the routine will call an error-handling routine XERBLA and then set $INFO = -i$. XERBLA has the same specification as in the Level 2 and Level 3 BLAS: its 1st argument is the name of the routine, and its 2nd argument is the number of the argument with an illegal value. A standard implementation of XERBLA prints a message and stops, but this is open to change by installers.

We do not propose to call any error-handling routine such as XERBLA with $INFO > 0$.

4.3 Choice of Block Size

Routines which implement block algorithms will need to obtain a value for the block size from an enquiry routine. Determining optimal, or near optimal, block sizes in different environments is a major research topic for the LAPACK project. The optimal block size depends on several factors, such as the architecture of the machine, the size of the problem, and the current state of the system (for example, the cache size, the number of processors available). In the preliminary phase of

3.3 Questions for the Community

For convenience we summarize here those questions on which we would par feedback:

- Should we provide the facility to work with either the upper or the symmetric matrix (see 3.1.3)? If the answer is yes, should we provide routines for the symmetric eigenvalue problem (see 3.2.1)?
- Should we provide a backward Cholesky factorization instead of, or native to, the usual Cholesky factorization, if it is significantly better?
- Have we provided sufficient facilities for computing or updating QR factorizations (see 3.1.13)?
- Should we provide routines for systems of equations with other kin structure, for example; block tridiagonal, almost block diagonal (“staircase”). Our feeling at this state is that we should not, or at least that we should not do any work on them. In some cases (e.g. for symmetric positive-definite systems), it may be possible for us to illustrate how routines to be built out of other LAPACK components.

4 Aspects of Software Design

4.1 Design of Calling Sequences

Arguments of an LAPACK routine will appear in the following order:

- arguments specifying options
- problem dimensions
- array or scalar arguments defining the input data; some of them may be results
- other array or scalar arguments returning results
- work arrays (and associated array dimensions)
- diagnostic argument INFO

The examples in Appendix A illustrate what this ordering implies in the calling sequence. Arguments specifying options will usually be CHARACTER*1 arguments. Level 2 and Level 3 BLAS. They have the advantage that a longer character string can be passed as the actual argument, making the calling program more readable.

3.2.5 Unsymmetric generalized eigenproblems

The routines in this group deal with square matrix pencils (A, B) in triangular; a QR-factorization of B can be used to achieve this form

SGGHRD reduce a pencil (A, B) to one in which A is upper Hessenberg
SHGEQR all or part of generalized Schur factorization of a matrix
 (A, B) in which A is upper Hessenberg
STGEVC eigenvectors of a pencil (A, B) in which A is upper quasi-triangular
SHGEIN selected eigenvectors of a matrix pencil (A, B) in which A is upper quasi-triangular by inverse iteration
SGGBAL balance a matrix pencil
SGGBAK backtransform eigenvectors to those of a pencil balanced by SVD
STGSEN computes or estimates condition numbers associated with a subspace
STGSNA computes or estimates condition numbers associated with the eigenvalue-eigenvector pair.
STGSYL solve triangular generalized Sylvester equation
STGEXC exchange adjacent diagonal elements or blocks of a pencil (A, B) in which A is upper quasi-triangular

Notes:

- a prototype for STGEXC is the subroutine EXCHQZ of Van Dooren [20]
- for SGGBAL see Wárd [21]
- STGSYL will solve the equation $AX + YB = C, DX + YE = F$ when A, B, C and D are upper triangular or quasi-triangular. This routine will be used by STGSNA.

3.2.6 Generalized singular value problems

STGSJA all or part of generalized SVD of a pair of triangular matrices (A, B) , singular values, and optionally vectors, using Jacobi's method

Notes:

- to compute the GSVD of a pair of rectangular matrices, it is assumed that A and B will be proceeded by a call of SGGQRP (see 3.1.14).
- STGSJA will take triangular A and B and return orthogonal U, V, Q , and diagonal C and S , such that $UAQ = CR, VBQ = SR$. R is overwritten on C . It requires workspace for extra copies of both A and B .

3.23 Singular value problems

SGEBRD reduce a rectangular matrix to upper bidiagonal form
STRBRD reduce an upper triangular matrix to upper bidiagonal form
SGBBRD reduce a band matrix to upper bidiagonal form
SBDSQR all or part of singular value factorization of upper bidiagonal matrix, by QR algorithm
SBSDC singular value factorization of upper bidiagonal matrix using a divide-and-conquer algorithm
SBDSIN selected singular vectors of upper bidiagonal matrix, by inverse iteration
SBDSBM selected singular values of upper bidiagonal matrix, by bisection
SBDSVU singular values and vectors of rank-1 update of upper bidiagonal matrix

Notes:

- For reduction to bidiagonal form, two paths are provided: either by SGEHRD, or QR-factorization by SGEHRF followed by reduction of upper triangular factor by STRBRD.
- A block algorithm for SGEHRD is discussed in Working Note #2.
- Algorithms and related issues concerning SBDSVF, SBSDC, SBDSIN and SBDSBM are discussed in Working Note #3 and in Chapter 2 of Working Note #4.
- SGBBRD will reduce a band matrix to bidiagonal form while preserving the banded structure, using sequences of plane rotations in a similar manner to the EISPACK routine BANDR).

3.24 Symmetric-definite generalized eigenproblems

SSYGST reduce problem to standard form
SSPGST as SSYGST using packed storage
SSBGST as SSYGST for band matrices
SDBEBM Szyld's bisection/Rayleigh quotient algorithm for band matrices

Notes:

- SSBGST will be based on the algorithm of Crawford [19].
- to backtransform eigenvectors of the standard problem to those of the original problem use STRSM after reduction by SSYGST, or STPSV after reduction by SSPGST.

3.2.2 Unsymmetric eigenvalue problems

SGEHRD reduce unsymmetric matrix to upper Hessenberg form
SHSEQR all or part of Schur factorization of upper Hessenberg matrix
STREVC eigenvectors of upper quasi-triangular matrix
SHSEIN selected eigenvectors of upper Hessenberg matrix, by inverse
SGEBAL balance an unsymmetric matrix
SGEBAK backtransform eigenvectors to those of the matrix balanced by
STRSEN computes or estimates condition numbers associated with
a single invariant subspace
STRSNA computes or estimates condition numbers associated with
all eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs
STRSYL solve quasi-triangular Sylvester equation
STREXC exchange adjacent diagonal elements or blocks of upper
quasi-triangular matrix

Notes:

- a block algorithm for SGEHRD is described in Working Note #2.
- block QR methods are being investigated for SHSEQR
- STREVC will have options to compute either left or right eigenvectors
- a prototype for STREXC is the algorithm of Stewart [and Parlett [15].
- STRSEN will require the user to specify the eigenvalues which define the invariant subspace. We expect to base this routine on the methods of
- STRSNA will be based on the algorithm of Chan, Ferris and Parlett for computing the sensitivities of the eigenvalues, and on other methods of estimating the condition numbers of the eigenvectors.
- STRSYL will solve the equation $AX + XB = C$ when A and B are both upper triangular or quasi-triangular. This routine will be needed by STRSEN. Block algorithms are being investigated by Kågström [18]

Notes :

- The routines for unsymmetric problems allow the Schur factorization with a separate routine for computing eigenvectors of the triangular matrix.
- For backtransformation of eigenvectors, either the Level 3 BLAS routine STRSM or the routine SORMUL can be called as appropriate; hence routines specifically for backtransformation have been proposed (except as noted) would users prefer the calls to be packaged into specific back-transformation routines.

3.2.1 Symmetric eigenvalue problems

SSYTRD reduce symmetric matrix to tridiagonal form
SSPTRD reduce symmetric matrix in packed storage to tridiagonal form
SSBTRD reduce symmetric band matrix to tridiagonal form
SSTEQR all eigenvalues and optionally all eigenvectors of symmetric tridiagonal matrix, using QR algorithm
SSTEDC all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric tridiagonal matrix using a divide-and-conquer algorithm
SSTEIN selected eigenvectors of symmetric tridiagonal matrix, by inverse iteration
SSTEBM selected eigenvalues of symmetric tridiagonal matrix, by bisection/multisection
SSTEVC eigenvalues and eigenvectors of rank-1 update of symmetric tridiagonal matrix
SSBEVM eigenvalues of symmetric banded matrix using Szyld's algorithm

Notes :

- A block algorithm for SSYTRD is described in Working Note #2.
- We are considering the possibility of allowing SSYTRD, SSPTRD and SSBTRD to work with either the upper or lower triangle of the symmetric matrix by the value of an option argument UPLO.
- Issues concerned with the choice of method for SSTEQR, SSTEDC and SSTEIN are discussed in chapter 1 of Working Note #4. Resolution of those issues will result in a different structure of routines from that proposed here.
- SSTEIN is intended for computing eigenvectors by inverse iteration for eigenvalues which have already been computed by SSTEQR or SSTEBM.
- to form the orthogonal matrix used for the reduction in SSYTRD, use STRSM.
- to back-transform eigenvectors computed by SSTEQR or SSTEDC or SSTEIN to those of an original symmetric matrix, use SORMUL.

- SGERQF is intended primarily for factorizing an m -by- n matrix with $[0 : R] Q$ where R is upper triangular. This is needed in some applications for optimization, and also as a first step in computing the SVD of an m -by- n matrix with $m \leq n$. A block algorithm analogous to that for SGEQRF can be used.
- STZRQF will factorize an m -by- n upper trapezoidal matrix with $m \leq n$ where R is upper triangular. This is needed to compute the complete QR factorization of a rank-deficient matrix and hence to obtain the minimum norm solution of rank-deficient linear least squares problems (see Lawson for details).
- SGEQRS may provide only the straightforward solution of a full-rank least squares problem, that is, not necessarily all of the functions provided by the routine SQRSL. Other functions provided by SQRSL can be obtained by using the routine of SORMUL.
- SORMUL will have options to compute BQ or $B^T Q$ for given B (overwriting the result on B).
- SORGEN will allow the factor Q in a QR factorization to be formed explicitly.
- Both SORMUL and SORGEN can use block algorithms.
- SGEQRU will perform a low-rank update of a QR factorization, i.e., $\tilde{Q}\tilde{R}$.
- Note that other updates of QR factorization can be obtained from the routines SPOTRU and SPOTRX (see 3.1.3).

3.1.14 Generalized QR Factorization

SGGQRP generalized QR factorization of a pair of rectangular matrices A and B (pivoting is necessary)

Notes:

- SGGQRP will compute a generalized QR factorization as defined by Paige and Saunders.

3.2 Eigenvalue Problems

This section of LAPACK is concerned with computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors, of standard and generalized problems. It provides the facilities of EISPACK as well as many new ones, with the routines being more systematically than in EISPACK.

2. perform Cholesky factorization and solve linear equations
3. solve linear equations using the factorization from a previous

The LINPACK routine SPTSOL only performs option 2 but the other options provided at little extra cost in complexity.

- SMPSOL is envisaged as implementing the same algorithms as SPTSOL, vectorization over the systems of equations. This requirement is a P. D. E. 's.

3.1.13 QR factorization and related routines

SGEQRF QR factorization of a rectangular matrix without pivoting
 SGEQRP as SGEQRF but with column interchanges
 SGERQF RQ factorization of a rectangular matrix
 STZRQF RQ factorization of an upper trapezoidal matrix
 SGEQRS solve linear least squares problem after factorization by SGEQRF or SGEQRP
 SORGEN generate leading columns of an orthogonal matrix which is defined as a product of Householder matrices
 SORMUL multiply a rectangular matrix by an orthogonal matrix which is defined as a product of Householder matrices
 SGEQRU rank- k update of a QR factorization

Notes:

- Block algorithms for SGEQRF have been described by Björshof and Walker [9] and Schreiber and van Loan [10]
- Two distinct routines SGEQRP and SGEQRF are proposed: one with, and one without, the facility for column interchanges. The argument list for SGEQRF is good deal simpler than that of SGEQRP. SGEQRF is envisaged as a module primarily be used as a component in algorithms such as the singular value decomposition and the generalized eigenvalue problem, whereas SGEQRP will be used for solving linear least squares problems.
- It is not possible to implement a block algorithm for SGEQRP if row interchanges are to be allowed. However, we will investigate the possibility of a safeguarded local pivoting strategy proposed by Besshafigels only within the current block provided that this is acceptable. We will provide an option to specify either global pivoting or this local pivoting

3.1.10 Triangular band matrices

STBTRS solve systems of linear equations
STBRFS compute error bound
STBCON estimate condition number

Notes (see also 3.1.8 where relevant):

- These routines will use the same storage scheme as the TB routine BLAS.

3.1.11 General tridiagonal matrices

SGTSOL Solve linear equations
SGTTRF LU-factorization with row interchanges
SGTTRS solve linear equations after factorization by SGTTRF
SGTRFS refine solution computed by SGTTRF, with optional error bound
SGTCON estimate (or compute?) condition number
SGTEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes:

- SGTSOL is similar to the LINPACK routine SGTSL: it solves the system directly and does not save full details of the factorization; it uses less storage and is faster than successive calls to SGTTRF and SGTTRS.
- SGTCON may use Higham's results on computing condition numbers of matrices [5]

3.1.12 Symmetric positive definite tridiagonal matrices

SPTSOL solve linear equations
SPTTRF Cholesky factorization
SPTTRS solve linear equations after factorization by SPTTRF
SPTRFS refine solution computed by SPTTRF, with optional error bound
SPTCON estimate (or compute?) condition number
SPTEQU equilibrate matrix
SMTSOL as SPTSOL but for multiple systems of equations each with its own right hand side

Notes (see also 3.1.11 where relevant):

- SPTSOL will have options to:

1. perform Cholesky factorization

3.1.7 Symmetric indefinite matrices, packed storage

SSPTRF Bunch-Parlett factorization
SSPTRS solve linear equations, after factorization by SSPTRF
SSPTRI compute inverse, after factorization by SSPTRF
SSPRFS refine solution computed by SSPTRS, with optional error bounds
SSPCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SSPTRF
SSPTRU low-rank update of a Bunch-Parlett factorization
SSPEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes: See 3.1.6 and 3.1.4 where relevant.

3.1.8 Triangular matrices

STRTRS solve linear equations
STRTRI compute inverse
STRRFS compute error bound
STRCON estimate condition number

Notes:

- These routines will handle either an upper or a lower triangular matrix, depending on the value of an option argument UPLO.
- STRTRS will be little more than an interface to the Level 3 BLAS routine STRSLL with the addition of a test for singularity.

3.1.9 Triangular matrices, packed storage

STPTRS solve systems of linear equations
STPTRI compute inverse
STPRFS compute error bound
STPCON estimate condition number

Notes (see also 3.1.8 where relevant):

- These routines will use the same packed storage scheme as the Level 3 BLAS routines, packing by column.

3.1.5 Symmetric positive-definite band matrices

SPBTRF Cholesky-factorization
SPBTRS solution of linear equations, after factorization by SPBTRF
SPBRFS refine solution computed by SPBTRS, with optional error bound
SPBCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SPBTRF
SPBEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes (See also 3.1.3 where relevant):

- The routines in this group use the same storage scheme when UPLO = 'U' as the routines in LINPACK, with the obvious extension when UPLO = 'L'.

3.1.6 Symmetric indefinite matrices

SSYTRF Bunch-Parlett factorization
SSYTRS solve linear equations, after factorization by SSYTRF
SSYTRI compute inverse, after factorization by SSYTRF
SSTRFS refine solution computed by SSYTRS, with optional error bound
SSYCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SSYTRF
SSYTRU low-rank update of a Bunch-Parlett factorization
SSYEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes:

- Because of the need for diagonal pivoting in the Bunch-Parlett factorization, it does not seem to be possible to develop a block algorithm for SSYTRF, hence the scope for using Level 2 BLAS.
- We are investigating the possibility of combining into the single functions of the LINPACK routines SSIFA and SCHDC.
- Here also we are considering the possibility of working with the upper triangular factor.
- SSYTRU corresponds to the routine SPOTRU, but applied to a symmetric indefinite factorization.

- Prototype code for one possible variant of SPOTRF is presented in .
- For SPOTRF, just as for SGETRF, more than one variant of the block algorithm can be derived. We will investigate the performance of different variants.
- SPOTRU corresponds to the LINPACK routines SCHUD and SCHDD, with the difference that it allows a rank- k modification with $k \geq 1$. In downdating, the update may have to be performed as a sequence of rank-1 downdates to maintain the QR factorization. Note that these matrices can also be regarded as updating the triangular factor of a QR factorization.
- SPOTRX corresponds to the LINPACK routine SCHEX. It also can be regarded as updating the triangular factor of a QR factorization and allows subcolumns to be updated by the addition or deletion of a column.

3.1.4 Symmetric positive definite matrices in packed storage

SPPTRF Cholesky factorization
 SPPTRS solve linear equations, after factorization by SPPTRF
 SPPTRI compute inverse, after factorization by SPPTRF
 SPPRFS refine solution computed by SPPTRS, with optional error bounds
 SPPCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SPPTRF
 SPPTRU low-rank update or downdate of a Cholesky factorization
 SPPTRX permute columns of a Cholesky factorization
 SPPEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes (See also 3.1.3 where relevant):

- The routines in this group will call only Level 2 BLAS, not Level 3. The Level 3 BLAS do not cater for packed storage.
- The routines will use the same packed storage scheme as the Level 2 routines. If $UPLO = 'U'$, the upper triangle is packed sequentially by column (the same convention used in LINPACK), and is equivalent to packing the lower triangle by row. If $UPLO = 'L'$, the lower triangle is packed sequentially by column (the same convention used in LINPACK), and is equivalent to packing the upper triangle by rows.

3.1.2 General band matrices

SGBTRF LU-factorization with row interchanges
SGBTRS solve linear equations, after factorization by SGBTRF
SGBRFS refine solution computed by SGBTRF, with optional error bound
SGBCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SGBTRF
SGBEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes (see also 3.1.1 where relevant):

- The routines in this group will use the same storage scheme as the LINPACK, that is, diagonals of the matrix are stored in rows of the array and the off-diagonals of the matrix are stored in columns of the array.

3.1.3 Symmetric positive definite matrices

SPOTRF Cholesky factorization
SPOTRS solve linear equations, after factorization by SPOTRF
SPOTRI compute inverse, after factorization by SPOTRF
SPORFS refine solution computed by SPOTRS, with optional error bound
SPOCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SPOTRF
SPOTRU low-rank update or downdate of a Cholesky factorization
SPOTRX permute columns of a Cholesky factorization
SPOEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes:

- We are considering the possibility of providing all the routines with an option parameter UPLO. If UPLO = 'U', the upper triangle of the matrix must be supplied and the matrix will be factored as $A = U^T U$, as in LINPACK. If UPLO = 'L', the lower triangle will be supplied, and the matrix factored as in EISPACK (routines REDUC and REDUC2). Would this additional be useful? or would it be an unwelcome complication?
- We are also considering the possibility of providing "backward" factorizations $U^T U$ and $L L^T$, as well as the conventional "forward" factorizations that a backward factorization is significantly faster on some machines. We will investigate this. If there is a significant advantage in performance, either of the backward factorizations as an optional alternative or even instead of them? (The LINPACK routines SSIFA already uses backward factorization for symmetric indefinite matrices.)
- Block algorithms for SPOTRF, SPOTRS and SPOTRI are straightforward.

3.1.1 General matrices

SGETRF LU-factorization with row interchanges
SGETRS solve linear equations, after factorization by SGETRF
SGETRI compute inverse, after factorization by SGETRF
SGERFS refine solution computed by SGETRS, with optional error bounds
SGECON estimate condition number, after factorization by SGETRF
SGEEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes:

- Block algorithms for SGETRF, SGETRS and SGETRI are straightforward.
- For SGETRF more than one variant of the block algorithm can be derived. Tending to block algorithms the analysis of Dongarra, Gustavson and others. The performance of the different variants will be investigated.
- Prototype code for one possible variant of SGETRF is presented in [1].
- SGETRF will factorize a rectangular matrix (so that the factor L may be rectangular). This additional flexibility is occasionally useful; also a blocked version requires an unblocked version of the algorithm to factorize a rectangular matrix. The other routines in this group work only with square matrices.
- SGETRS will solve $AX = B$ or BA .
- For SGETRI two methods are possible: either compute L^{-1} by calling to STRTRI and then to form the product $L^{-1}U$ computed by a call to STRTRI and then to solve for X the equation $L^{-1}U = U^{-1}X$. The latter method is used in the LINPACK routine SGEDI and is likely to be faster, but requires one block of columns.
- For SGEEQU we envisage options for row scaling, column scaling or row and column scaling. For the last option we are investigating the scaling algorithm of Reid [2] and also cheaper alternatives.

3 Organization of Routines and Choice of Algorithms

In this section we describe the functions of the routines, and the intent of them; and give notes on the choice of algorithms. For simplicity we deal only with **real, single precision matrices only** (routine names beginning with S).

For convenience we divide the routines into two sections

- routines associated with the solution of systems of linear equations and some routines for solving linear least squares problems. These are centered on standard non-iterative factorizations (LU, Cholesky, QR), and correspond to LINPACK.
- routines associated with the solution of eigenvalue problems (including problems and singular value problems). These are centered on algorithms for computing eigenvalues, and correspond roughly to EISPACK.

The division is not clear cut: some routines in the first section also solve eigenvalue problems; and linear least squares problems may be solved in the first section (using QR factorization) or by routines in the second section.

3.1 Routines for Solving Linear Equations

This section of LAPACK is concerned with the solution of systems of linear equations $AX = B$. Similar groups of routines will be provided for different types of matrices, and these are described in the following subsections. The overall structure of LINPACK.

This section also contains routines based on the QR factorization for solving least squares problems.

The following remarks apply to all groups of routines in this chapter.

- The routines for solving linear equations (TRS routines) will all solve $AX = B$ for any right hand sides (with the possible exception of routines for tridiagonal matrices).
- For further discussion of the routines for iterative refinement and iterative least squares (RFS and CON routines), see Chapter 3 of Working Note #4.
- The routines for condition estimation will all use Higham's version of the algorithm [5]. The CON routines mentioned here will all call Higham's algorithm to estimate the norm of A .

	GE	GB	GG	HS	HG	TR	TG	SY	SP	SB	ST	BD	DB
HRD	x		x										
TRD								x	x	x			
BRD	x	x				x							
EQR				x	x						x		
EDC											x		
EI N				x	x						x		
EVC						x	x						
EBM										x	x		x
EVU											x		
SQR												x	
SDC												x	
SI N												x	
SBM												x	
SVU												x	
SJA							x						
SEN						x	x						
SNA						x	x						
SYL						x	x						
EXC						x	x						
BAL	x		x										
BAK	x		x										
GST								x	x	x			

The following tables indicate which combinations of the codes XX are associated. The first table covers routines which are primarily associated with systems of linear equations and are listed in Section 3.1. The second table which are primarily associated with eigenvalue problems and are listed do not list the complex counterparts of SY and SP.)

	GE	GB	GT	PO	PP	PB	PT	MT	SY	SP	TR	TP	TB	TZ	OR	GG
TRF	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x						
TRS	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x			
RFS	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x			
TRI	x			x	x				x	x	x	x				
CON	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x			
SOL			x				x	x								
TRU				x	x				x	x						
TRX				x	x											
EQU	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x						
QRP	x															x
QRF	x															
RQF	x													x		
QRS	x															
QRU	x															
GEN																x
MUL																x

QRF QR- factorization without pivoting
 QRP QR- factorization with pivoting
 QRS solution of linear least squares problems, following QR factorization
 QRU update QR- factorization
 RFS refine initial approximate solution returned by TRS routines, with optional error bound
 RQF RQ- factorization
 SBM compute selected singular values, by bisection/multisection
 SDC all singular values and vectors, using a divide-and-conquer algorithm
 SEN condition number (sensitivity) of an invariant subspace
 SIN selected singular vectors (assuming singular values are known by inverse iteration)
 SJA computes singular values and optionally singular vectors using GSVD (needed by GSVD)
 SNA condition numbers (sensitivities) of all eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs
 SOL solution of linear equations
 SQR compute singular values and, optionally, singular vectors, using QR algorithm
 SVU rank-1 update of singular value decomposition
 SYL solve Sylvester's equation
 TRD reduction to symmetric tridiagonal form
 TRF triangular factorization (LU, Cholesky, etc)
 TRI compute inverse (based on triangular factorization)
 TRS solution of linear equations (based on triangular factorization)
 TRU update or downdate triangular factorization
 TRX exchange rows and columns in triangular factorization

BAK back-transformation of eigenvectors after balancing
 BAL balance a matrix or matrices (for eigenvalue computation)
 BRD reduction to bidiagonal form
 CON estimate condition number
 EBM selected eigenvalues, by bisection/multisection
 EDC all eigenvalues and eigenvectors, using a divide and conquer
 EIN selected eigenvectors (assuming eigenvalues are known), by i
 EQR all eigenvalues and, optionally, Schur factorization or eigen
 using QR algorithm
 EQU equilibrate matrix (for solving linear equations)
 EVC eigenvectors from Schur factorization
 EVU rank-1 update of eigenvalue decomposition
 EXC exchange eigenvalues (in Schur factorization)
 GEN generate a real orthogonal or complex unitary matrix (as a pro
 Householder matrices)
 GST reduce symmetric-definite generalized eigenvalue
 problem to standard form
 HRD reduction to upper Hessenberg form
 MUL multiply a matrix by real orthogonal or complex unitary matrix
 a product of Householder matrices)

PT symmetric or Hermitian positive definite tridiagonal
SB (real) symmetric band
SP (real) symmetric, packed storage
ST (real) symmetric tridiagonal
SY (real) symmetric
TB triangular band
TG triangular matrices, generalized problem
TP triangular, packed storage
TR triangular (or in some cases quasi-triangular)
TZ trapezoidal
UN (complex) unitary

The precise meaning of some of these codes may become clearer in the
of proposed routines in Section 3.

The final letters `YYY` indicate the computation done by a particular s
Section 3 may make the meaning of some of the codes more clear.

Note that the last is not standard Fortran but is available in many machines where double precision computation is usual.

The next two letters, XX, indicate the type of matrix (in some cases most significant matrix). Most of these two-letter codes apply to both routines; a few apply specifically to one or the other, and this is indi

BD bi diagonal
DB generalized banded symmetric or Hermitian positive definite
GB general band
GE general (i.e. unsymmetric, in some cases rectangular)
GG general matrices, generalized problem
GT general tridiagonal
HB (complex) Hermitian band
HE (complex) Hermitian
HG Hessenberg matrix, generalized problem
HP (complex) Hermitian, packed storage
HS Hessenberg
MT as PT but for multiple systems of equations
OR (real) orthogonal
PB symmetric or Hermitian positive definite band
PO symmetric or Hermitian positive definite
PP symmetric or Hermitian positive definite, packed storage

of algorithms. Section 4 discusses aspects of software design. Specific routines are presented in Appendix A. Appendix B shows how the functions and EISPACK routines would be covered (with a few exceptions) by LAPACK.

The contents of this working note are **provisional** and are likely to be modified in extent in the light of comment and experience. We are publishing our plans in order to give people an early opportunity to offer suggestions, criticisms, and software. Some questions on which we would particularly welcome feedback are at the end of Sections 3 and 4.

2 Naming Scheme

A subroutine naming scheme has been designed, similar in style to that of LINPACK [2] and later for the LAPACK [3]. The following principles influenced the design:

- the names should be as mnemonic and systematic as possible within the constraints of standard Fortran 77 6-character names.
- the names should indicate the function of the routines rather than the algorithm (except in a few cases where we plan to provide more than one algorithm for a task).
- there should be no clashes with names already used in EISPACK, LINPACK, or BLAS.

We have tried to make the computational routines as modular as possible in either LINPACK or EISPACK. The reasons for this are:

- when the areas covered by LINPACK and EISPACK are combined, there is a possibly greater scope for sharing common features.
- the routines in LAPACK, based on block algorithms, are likely to involve more complex code than LINPACK or EISPACK, and hence there are stronger reasons to duplicate it.

Each subroutine name is a coded specification of the computation domain and precision. All names consist of six letters in the form TXXYYY. The first letter T is the matrix data type as follows:

```
S  REAL
D  DOUBLE PRECISION
C  COMPLEX
Z  COMPLEX*16 or DOUBLE COMPLEX (if available)
```

LAPACK Working Note #5 Provisional Contents

Chris Bischof, James Demmel, Jack Dongarra, Jeremy Du Croz,
Anne Greenbaum, Sven Hammarling, and Danny Sorensen

ABSTRACT

This note outlines the proposed computational routines in LAPACK. It describes the naming scheme for the routines, enumerates the individual routines, includes algorithms and discusses aspects of software design. The contents of this note may be modified in the light of comment and experience.

1 Overview

LAPACK is planned to be a collection of Fortran 77 subroutines for the solution of various systems of simultaneous linear algebraic equations, linear least squares, and matrix eigenvalue problems.

The subroutines are intended to be transportable and efficient across a wide range of computing environments, with special emphasis on modern high-performance architectures. For more about the background, motivation and design goals of LAPACK, see the Introduction [1].

Our plan is that LAPACK should include two broad categories of routines (this distinction may in some cases be blurred):

computational routines, each performing a distinct algorithmic task, such as performing an LU factorization, reducing a matrix to Hessenberg form, or computing the eigenvalues of a bidiagonal matrix.

driver routines, each of which solves a complete problem, using a series of computational routines and possibly some additional code, for example solving a system of linear equations with one or many right hand sides, or computing the singular value decomposition, or optionally eigenvectors of a symmetric matrix.

Driver routines are provided in EISPACK (RG, RGG, RS and so on); the corresponding routines in LINPACK has often been criticized.

This working note discusses the computational routines only. At this stage of the project we feel that it is more important to design and develop driver routines.

Section 2 of this working note describes the naming scheme for the routines and lists the proposed routines and contains notes on the structure of the

ABSTRACT

This note outlines the provisional contents of LAPACK. It describes a number of routines, enumerates the individual routines, and includes notes on the design and aspects of software design.

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY
9700 South Cass Avenue
Argonne, Illinois 60439

LAPACK Working Note # 5
Provisional Contents

**Chris Bischof, James Demmel, Jack Dongarra, Jeremy Du Croz,
Ane Greerham, Sven Hamling, and Danny Sorensen**

Mathematics and Computer Science Division

ANL-88-38

March 16, 1992

Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant 8715728, the Applied Mathematical Sciences subprogram of the Office of Energy Research and Development of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38, and the Center IBM.