Overview

- Covers details you may have missed in David Walker’s MPI / Message Passing class.

Assumes that you know and understand MPI and PVM.

Closely coupled vs Cluster Computing

- Bottom line
  - MPI is better at message passing than PVM
  - More complex
  - Less flexible at anything else
  - I.e. it’s a message passing system not a distributed environment

Scheduling

- Not built into MPI as it has no process control
  - But maybe an option under MPIRUN
  - Yep PVM has it all
    - user controllable pvm_spawn()
    - pvm_rm interface
      - Also
        - pvm_tasker interface
        - pvm_hoster interface
Scheduling

- Two types to worry about
  - At spawn time
    - static allocation based on the environment
  - At run time
    - I.e. migration of tasks
      - system level migration
      - Special support needed (Condor)
    - User level
      - check points / restarts
    - Change work load allocated (bag of tasks)

Task allocation in PVM
I.e. pvm_spawn()

- Before improving on it, had to figure out how it worked as it wasn’t random but round-robin
- Aimed at using spare capacity
  - what spare capacity??

What is spare (what is even machine load?)

- Condor people claimed 10% utilisation for their systems
  - At Reading was more like 40-60% all the time.
- Load
  - machine average is not a good metric but without more specific help from the kernel it would have to do.
- Defined user classes and loading based on observations on the RDG system over a year...

Typical loading

Better Spawn

- Added checks for load before starting.
  - Based on two methods, central RPC and distributed monitor daemons
  - Checked effects of this system on startup performance, and accuracy of placement.
User level migration

Back to load or not
- The load was not always a good measure and soon the network was very heterogeneous...
- Could you use a benchmark to find the real load?

Modified spawn using effective speed-up
- Takes into account benchmark and loading
  - Helps on heterogeneous networks

Very advanced Schedulers

Fault Tolerance
- Multiple methods
  - System level
    - from checkpoint file
  - User level
    - from data check point
- How do we detect the failure?
  - Notify Message and/or time out