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MPI Standard
- [http://www.mpi-forum.org](http://www.mpi-forum.org)
- Current version: 2.2
- MPI = Message Passing Interface

MPI Point-to-point communications
Send & Receive

- Explicit communications (FIFO per peer per communicator)
- Move data from one process to another (possibly local) process
  - The data is described by a data-type, a count and a memory location
  - The destination process by a rank in a communicator
  - The matching is tag based

```
int MPI_Send(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm);
int MPI_Recv(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int source, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Status* status);
```

Blocking Communications

- The process is blocked in the MPI function until:
  - For receives the remote data has been safely copied into the receive buffer
  - For sends the send buffer can be safely modified by the user without impacting the message transfer

```
int MPI_Send(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm);
int MPI_Recv(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int source, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Status* status);
```

Communication modes

- A send in standard mode can be started whether or not a matching receive has been posted. It may complete before a matching receive is posted.
  - Successful completion of the send operation may depend on the occurrence of a matching receive
- Buffered mode send operation can be started whether or not a matching receive has been posted. It may complete before a matching receive is posted.
  - Its completion does not depend on the occurrence of a matching receive
- Send that uses the synchronous mode can be started whether or not a matching receive was posted. It will complete successfully only if a matching receive is posted, and the receive operation has started to receive the message.
  - Its completion does not only indicates that the send buffer can be reused, but it also indicates that the receiver started executing the matching receive
Communication modes

- Send that uses the **Ready** communication mode may be started only if the matching receive is already posted. Otherwise, the operation is erroneous and its outcome is undefined.
  - completion of the send operation does not depend on the status of a matching receive, and merely indicates that the send buffer can be reused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Buffered</th>
<th>Synchronous</th>
<th>Ready</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Send</td>
<td>MPI_Bsend</td>
<td>MPI_Ssend</td>
<td>MPI_Rsend</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Semantics of Point-to-Point Communication

- Order: Messages are non-overtaking
- Progress: No progression guarantees except when in MPI calls
- Fairness: no guarantee of fairness. However, usually a best effort approach implemented in the MPI libraries.
- Resource limitations: Best effort

```
Quality implementation: a particular implementation of the standard, exhibiting a set of desired properties.
```

Non-Blocking Communications

- The process returns from the call as soon as possible, before any data transfer has been initiated.
- All flavors of communication modes supported.
- Subsequent MPI call required to check the completion status.
Communication Completion

• Single completion
  – completion of a send operation indicates that
    the sender is now free to update the locations
    in the send buffer
  – completion of a receive operation indicates
    that the receive buffer contains the received
    message

```c
int MPI_Wait( MPI_Request *request, MPI_Status *status );
int MPI_Test( MPI_Request *request, int *flag, MPI_Status *status );
```

Communication Completion

• Multiple Completions (ANY)
  – A call to MPI_WAITANY or MPI_TESTANY
    can be used to wait for the completion of one
    out of several operations.

```c
int MPI_Waitany( int count, MPI_Request *array_of_requests, int *index, MPI_Status *status );
int MPI_Testany( int count, MPI_Request *array_of_requests, int *index, int *flag, MPI_Status *status );
```

Communication Completion

• Multiple Completions (SOME)
  – A call to MPI_WAITSOME or
    MPI_TESTSOME can be used to wait for the
    completion of at least one out of several
    operations.

```c
int MPI_Waitsome( int incount, MPI_Request *array_of_requests, int *outcount, int *array_of_indices, MPI_Status *array_of_statuses );
int MPITestsome( int incount, MPI_Request *array_of_requests, int *outcount, int *array_of_indices, MPI_Status *array_of_statuses );
```
### Communication Completion

- **Multiple Completions (ALL)**
  - A call to MPI_WAITALL or MPI_TESTALL can be used to wait for the completion of all operations.

```c
int MPI_Waitsome( int count, MPI_Request *array_of_requests,
                  MPI_Status *array_of_statuses );
int MPI_Testall( int count, MPI_Request *array_of_requests,
                 int *flag, MPI_Status *array_of_statuses );
```

### Persistent Communications

- A communication with the same argument list repeatedly executed within the inner loop of a parallel computation
  - Allow MPI implementations to optimize the data transfers
- All communication modes (buffered, synchronous and ready) can be applied

```c
int MPI_Send_init( void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype,
                   int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm,
                   MPI_Request *request );
int MPI_Recv_init( void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype,
                   int source, int tag, MPI_Comm comm,
                   MPI_Request *request );
```

### MPI Derived Datatypes
MPI Datatypes

- Abstract representation of underlying data
  - Handle type: MPI_Datatype
- Pre-defined handles for intrinsic types
  - E.g., C: MPI_INT, MPI_FLOAT, MPI_DOUBLE
  - E.g., Fortran: MPI_INTEGER, MPI_REAL
  - E.g., C++: MPI::BOOL
- User-defined datatypes
  - E.g., arbitrary / user-defined C structs

MPI Data Representation

- Multi platform interoperability
- Multi languages interoperability
  - Is MPI_INT the same as MPI_INTEGER?
  - How about MPI_INTEGER[1,2,4,8]?
- Handling datatypes in Fortran with MPI_SIZEOF and MPI_TYPE_MATCH_SIZE

Multi-Platform Interoperability

- Different data representations
  - Length 32 vs. 64 bits
  - Endianness conflict
- Problems
  - No standard about the data length in the programming languages (C/C++)
  - No standard floating point data representation
    - IEEE Standard 754 Floating Point Numbers
      - Subnormals, infinities, NaNs ...
    - Same representation but different lengths
How About Performance?

- Old way
  - Manually copy the data in a user pre-allocated buffer, or
  - Manually use MPI_PACK and MPI_UNPACK
- New way
  - Trust the [modern] MPI library
  - High performance MPI datatypes

MPI Datatypes

- MPI uses “datatypes” to:
  - Efficiently represent and transfer data
  - Minimize memory usage
- Even between heterogeneous systems
  - Used in most communication functions (MPI_SEND, MPI_RECV, etc.)
  - And file operations
- MPI contains a large number of pre-defined datatypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPI_Datatype</th>
<th>C datatype</th>
<th>Fortran datatype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPI_CHAR</td>
<td>signed char</td>
<td>CHARACTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_SHORT</td>
<td>signed short int</td>
<td>INTEGER*2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_INT</td>
<td>signed int</td>
<td>INTEGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_LONG</td>
<td>signed long int</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR</td>
<td>unsigned char</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_UNSIGNED_SHORT</td>
<td>unsigned short</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_UNSIGNED</td>
<td>unsigned int</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG</td>
<td>unsigned long int</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_FLOAT</td>
<td>real</td>
<td>REAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_DOUBLE</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>DOUBLE PRECISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_LONG_DOUBLE</td>
<td>long double</td>
<td>DOUBLE PRECISION*8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Datatype Matching

- Two requirements for correctness:
  - Type of each data in the send / recv buffer matches the corresponding type specified in the sending / receiving operation
  - Type specified by the sending operation has to match the type specified for receiving operation

- Issues:
  - Matching of type of the host language
  - Match of types at sender and receiver

Datatype Conversion

- "Data sent = data received"

- 2 types of conversions:
  - Representation conversion: change the binary representation (e.g., hex floating point to IEEE floating point)
  - Type conversion: convert from different types (e.g., int to float)

  ➔ Only representation conversion is allowed

```c
if( my_rank == root )
    MPI_Send( ai, 1, MPI_INT, ...
else
    MPI_Recv( ai, 1, MPI_INT, ...

if( my_rank == root )
    MPI_Send( ai, 1, MPI_INT, ...
else
    MPI_Recv( af, 1, MPI_FLOAT, ...
```
Memory Layout

- How to describe a memory layout?

```c
struct {
    char c1;
    int i;
    char c2;
    double d;
};
```

Using iovecs (list of addresses)
- `<pointer to memory, length>`
  - `<baseaddr c1, 1>`, `<addr_of_i, 4>`, `<addr_of_c2, 1>`, `<addr_of_d, 8>`
- Waste of space
- Not portable...

Using displacements from base addr
- `<displacement, length>`
  - `<0, 1>`, `<4, 4>`, `<8, 1>`, `<12, 8>`
- Sometimes more space efficient
- And nearly portable
- What are we missing?

Datatype Specifications

- Type signature
  - Used for message matching
    - `{ type_0, type_1, ..., type_n }`
- Type map
  - Used for local operations
    - `{ (type_0, disp_0), (type_1, disp_1), ..., (type_n, disp_n) }

⇒ It’s all about the memory layout

User-Defined Datatypes

- Applications can define unique datatypes
  - Composition of other datatypes
  - MPI functions provided for common patterns
    - Contiguous
    - Vector
    - Indexed
    - ...

⇒ Always reduces to a type map of pre-defined datatypes
Handling datatypes

MPI impose that all datatypes used in communications or file operations should be committed.
- Allow MPI libraries to optimize the data representation

MPI_Type_commit( MPI_Datatype* )
MPI_Type_free( MPI_Datatype* )

All datatypes used during intermediary steps, and never used to communicate does not need to be committed.

Contiguous Blocks

- Replication of the datatype into contiguous locations.

MPI_Type_contiguous( 3, oldtype, newtype )

MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS( count, oldtype, newtype )
IN    count       replication count (positive integer)
IN    oldtype     old datatype (MPI_Datatype handle)
OUT   newtype     new datatype (MPI_Datatype handle)

Vectors

- Replication of a datatype into locations that consist of equally spaced blocks

MPI_Type_vector( 7, 2, 3, oldtype, newtype )

MPI_TYPE_VECTOR( count, blocklength, stride, oldtype, newtype )
IN    count       number of blocks (positive integer)
IN    blocklength number of elements in each block (positive integer)
IN    stride      number of elements between start of each block (integer)
IN    oldtype     old datatype (MPI_Datatype handle)
OUT   newtype     new datatype (MPI_Datatype handle)
Indexed Blocks

- Replication of an old datatype into a sequence of blocks, where each block can contain a different number of copies and have a different displacement.

MPI_TYPE_INDEXED( count, array_of_blocks, array_of_displs, oldtype, newtype )

IN     count           number of blocks (positive integer)
IN     a_of_b         number of elements per block (array of positive integer)
IN     a_of_d         displacement of each block from the beginning in multiple multiple of oldtype (array of integers)
IN     oldtype        old datatype (MPI_Datatype handle)
OUT newtype      new datatype (MPI_Datatype handle)

Indexed Blocks

array_of_blocklengths[] = { 2, 3, 1, 2, 2, 2 }
array_of_displs[] = { 0, 3, 10, 13, 16, 19 }
MPI_Type_indexed( 6, array_of_blocklengths, array_of_displs, oldtype, newtype )

Datatype Composition

- Each of the previous functions are the super set of the previous
  CONTIGUOUS < VECTOR < INDEXED
- Extend the description of the datatype by allowing more complex memory layout
  – Not all data structures fit in common patterns
  – Not all data structures can be described as compositions of others
“H” Functions

- Displacement is not in multiple of another datatype
- Instead, displacement is in bytes
  - MPI_TYPE_HVECTOR
  - MPI_TYPE_HINDEX
- Otherwise, similar to their non-"H" counterparts

Arbitrary Structures

- The most general datatype constructor
- Allows each block to consist of replication of different datatypes

```c
#include <mpi.h>

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);

  // Arbitrary structure declaration
  struct {
    int i[3];
    float f[2];
  } array[100];

  // Example data
  int length[0] = 2, length[1] = 1;
  int displs[0] = 0, displs[1] = 3 * sizeof(int);
  MPI_Datatype array['LENGTH'] = {
    MPI_INT, MPI_FLOAT
  };
  MPI_Type_struct(2, array_of_lengths, array_of_displs, array_of_types, newtype);

  MPI_Finalize();
  return 0;
}
```
Portable Vs. non portable

- The portability refer to the architecture boundaries
- Non portable datatype constructors:
  - All constructors using byte displacements
  - All constructors with H<type>, MPI_Type_struct
- Limitations for non portable datatypes
  - One sided operations
  - Parallel I/O operations

MPI_GET_ADDRESS

- Allow all languages to compute displacements
  - Necessary in Fortran
  - Usually unnecessary in C (e.g., "&foo")

```c
MPI_GET_ADDRESS( location, address )
IN       location    location in the caller memory (choice)
OUT   address    address of location (address integer)
```

And Now the Dark Side...

- Sometimes more complex memory layout have to be expressed

```c
Struct {
  int gap[2];
  int [6];
  int gap[2];
}
```

```
MPI_Send( buf, 3, ddt, ... )
What we just did
```

```
And what we expected to do...
```

```
```
Lower-Bound and Upper-Bound Markers

• Define datatypes with “holes” at the beginning or end
• 2 pseudo-types: MPI_LB and MPI_UB
  – Used with MPI_TYPE_STRUCT

Typemap = { (type0, disp0), ..., (type0, disp0) }

\[
\begin{align*}
lb(Typemap) &= \min \{ \text{disp} \text{ such that type} = \text{lb} \} \\
&= \begin{cases} 
\min \{ \text{disp} \text{ such that type} = \text{lb} \} & \text{if no entry has type lb} \\
\text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \\
ub(Typemap) &= \max \{ \text{disp} + \text{size(type)} + \text{align} \text{ such that type} = \text{ub} \} \\
&= \begin{cases} 
\max \{ \text{disp} + \text{size(type)} + \text{align} \text{ such that type} = \text{ub} \} & \text{if no entry has type ub} \\
\text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

MPI_LB and MPI_UB

\[
\begin{align*}
displs &= ( -3, 0, 6 ) \\
blocklengths &= ( 1, 1, 1 ) \\
types &= ( \text{MPI_LB, MPI_INT, MPI_UB} ) \\
\text{MPI_Type_struct} &= ( 3, \text{displs}, \text{blocklengths}, \\
& \text{types, typel} ) \\
\text{Typemap} &= \{ (lb, -3), (\text{int}, 0), (\text{ub}, 6) \} \\
\text{MPI_Type_contiguous} &= ( 3, \text{typel}, \text{type2} ) \\
\text{Typemap} &= \{ (lb, -3), (\text{int}, 0), (\text{int}, 9), (\text{int}, 18), (\text{ub}, 24) \}
\end{align*}
\]

MPI 2 Solution

• Problem with the way MPI-1 treats this problem: upper
  and lower bound can become messy, if you have derived
  datatype consisting of derived datatype consisting of
derived datatype consisting of… and each of them has
MPI_UB and MPI_LB set
• There is no way to erase LB and UB markers once they
  are set !!!
• MPI-2 solution: reset the extent of the datatype

\[
\text{MPI_Type_create_resized} = ( \text{MPI_Datatype datatype,} \\
\quad \text{MPI_Aint lb, MPI_Aint extent, MPI_Datatype*newtype} )
\]
• Erases all previous lb und ub markers
**True Lower-Bound and True Upper-Bound Markers**

- Define the real extent of the datatype: the amount of memory needed to copy the datatype inside
- TRUE_LB define the lower-bound ignoring all the MPI_LB markers.

\[
\text{Typemap} = \{(\text{type}_i, \text{disp}_i), \ldots, (\text{type}_n, \text{disp}_n)\}
\]

\[
\text{true_lb}(\text{Typemap}) = \min_j \{\text{disp}_j : \text{type}_j = \text{lb}\}
\]

\[
\text{true_ub}(\text{Typemap}) = \max_j \{(\text{disp}_j + \text{sizeof(type)}_j) : \text{type}_j = \text{ub}\}
\]

---

**Information About Datatypes**

- **MPI_TYPE_GET_{TRUE}EXTENT(datatype, {true}lb, {true}extent)**
  - IN    datatype        the datatype (MPI_Datatype handle)
  - OUT   {true}lb                 {true} lower-bound of datatype (MPI_AINT)
  - OUT   {true}extent          {true} extent of datatype           (MPI_AINT)

- **MPI_TYPE_SIZE(datatype, size)**
  - IN    datatype      the datatype (MPI_Datatype handle)
  - OUT   size             datatype size (integer)

---

**Decoding a datatype**

- Sometimes is important to know how a datatype was created (eg. Libraries developers)
- Given a datatype can I determine how it was created ?
- Given a datatype can I determine what memory layout it describe ?
**MPI_Type_get_envelope**

MPI_Type_get_envelope ( MPI_Datatype datatype, int *num_integers, int *num_addresses, int *num_datatypes, int *combiner );

- The combiner field returns how the datatype was created, e.g.
  - MPI_COMBINER_NAMED: basic datatype
  - MPI_COMBINER_CONTIGUOS: MPI_Type_contiguous
  - MPI_COMBINER_VECTOR: MPI_Type_vector
  - MPI_COMBINER_INDEXED: MPI_Type_indexed
  - MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT: MPI_Type_struct

- The other fields indicate how large the integer-array, the datatype-array, and the address-array has to be for the following call to MPI_Type_get_contents

**MPI_Type_get_contents**

MPI_Type_get_contents ( MPI_Datatype datatype, int max_integer, int max_addresses, int max_datatypes, int *integers, int *addresses, MPI_Datatype *dts);

- Call is erroneous for a predefined datatypes

- If returned data types are derived datatypes, then objects are duplicates of the original derived datatypes. User has to free them using MPI_Type_free

- The values in the integers, addresses and datatype arrays are depending on the original datatype constructor

**One Data By Cache Line**

- Imagine the following architecture:
  - Integer size is 4 bytes
  - Cache line is 16 bytes

- We want to create a datatype containing the second integer from each cache line, repeated three times

- How many ways are there?
Solution 1

```c
MPI_Datatype array_of_types[] = { MPI_INT, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, MPI_UB }; MPI_Aint start, array_of_displs[] = { 0, 0, 0, 0 }; int array_of_lengths[] = { 1, 1, 1, 1 }; struct one_by_cacheline c[4]; MPI_Get_address( &c[0], &(start) ); MPI_Get_address( &c[0].int[1], &(array_of_displs[0]) ); MPI_Get_address( &c[1].int[1], &(array_of_displs[1]) ); MPI_Get_address( &c[2].int[1], &(array_of_displs[2]) ); MPI_Get_address( &c[3], &(array_of_displs[3]) );
```

```c
for( i = 0; i < 4; ++i ) Array_of_displs[i] -= start;
```

```c
MPI_Type_create_struct( 4, array_of_lengths,
array_of_displs, array_of_types, newtype )
```

Solution 2

```c
MPI_Datatype array_of_types[] = { MPI_INT, MPI_UB }; MPI_Aint start, array_of_displs[] = { 4, 16 }; int array_of_lengths[] = { 1, 1 }; struct one_by_cacheline c[2]; MPI_Get_address( &c[0], &(start) ); MPI_Get_address( &c[0].int[1], &(array_of_displs[0]) ); MPI_Get_address( &c[1], &(array_of_displs[1]) );
```

```c
Array_of_displs[1] -= start;
```

```c
MPI_Type_create_struct( 2, array_of_lengths,
array_of_displs, array_of_types, temp_type )
```

```c
MPI_Type_contiguous( 3, temp_type, newtype )
```

Exercise

- Goals:
  - Create a datatype describing a matrix diagonal
  - What’s different between C and Fortran ?
Intra and Inter Communicators

Groups

- A group is a set of processes
  - The group have a size
  - And each process have a rank
- Creating a group is a local operation
- Why we need groups
  - To make a clear distinction between processes
  - To allow communications in-between subsets of processes
  - To create intra and inter communicators ...

Groups

- MPI_GROUP_*( group1, group2, newgroup)
  - Where * ∈ {UNION, INTERSECTION, DIFFERENCE}
  - Newgroup contain the processes satisfying the * operation ordered first depending on the order in group1 and then depending on the order in group2.
  - In the newgroup each process could be present only one time.
- There is a special group without any processes MPI_GROUP_EMPTY.
Groups

- group1 = \{a, b, c, d, e\}
- group2 = \{e, f, g, b, a\}
- Union
  - newgroup = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}
- Difference
  - newgroup = \{c, d\}
- Intersection
  - newgroup = \{a, b, e\}

MPI

- MPI_GROUP_* (group, n, ranks, newgroup)
  - Where * ∈ \{INCL, EXCL\}
  - N is the number of valid indexes in the ranks array.

- For INCL the order in the result group depend on the ranks order
- For EXCL the order in the result group depend on the original order

Example

- Group = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j\}
- N = 4, ranks = \{3, 4, 1, 5\}
- INCL
  - Newgroup = \{c, d, a, e\}
- EXCL
  - Newgroup = \{b, c, f, g, h, i, j\}
Groups

- MPI_GROUP_RANGE_*(group, n, ranges, newgroup)
  - Where * ∈ {INCL, EXCL}
  - N is the number of valid entries in the ranges array
  - Ranges is a tuple (start, end, stride)
- For INCL the order in the new group depend on the order in ranges
- For EXCL the order in the new group depend on the original order

Groups

- Group = {a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j}
- N=3; ranges = ((6, 7, 1), (1, 6, 2), (0, 9, 4))
- Then the range
  - (6, 7, 1) => {g, h} (ranks (6, 7))
  - (1, 6, 2) => {b, d, f} (ranks (1, 3, 5))
  - (0, 9, 4) => {a, e, i} (ranks (0, 4, 8))
- INCL
  - Newgroup = {g, h, b, d, f, a, e, i}
- EXCL
  - Newgroup = {c, j}

Communicators

- A special channel between some processes used to exchange messages.
- Operations creating the communicators are collectives, but accessing the communicator information is a local operation.
- Special communicators: MPI_COMM_WORLD, MPI_COMM_NULL, MPI_COMM_SELF
- MPI_COMM_DUP(comm, newcomm) create an identical copy of the comm in newcomm.
  - Allow exchanging messages between the same set of nodes using identical tags (useful for developing libraries).
### Intracommunicators

**What exactly is a intracommunicator?**

- some processes
- ONE group
- one communicator

- **MPI_COMM_SIZE, MPI_COMM_RANK**
- **MPI_COMM_COMPARE( comm1, comm2, result )**
  - **MPI_IDENT**: comm1 and comm2 represent the same communicator
  - **MPI_CONGRUENT**: same processes, same ranks
  - **MPI_SIMILAR**: same processes, different ranks
  - **MPI_UNEQUAL**: otherwise

**MPI_COMM_CREATE( comm, group, newcomm )**

- Create a new communicator on all processes from the communicator comm who are defined on the group.
- All others processes get MPI_COMM_NULL

```
MPI_Group_range_excl( group, 1, (0, 9, 2), odd_group );
MPI_Group_range_excl( group, 1, (1, 9, 2), even_group );
MPI_Comm_create( comm, odd_comm, odd_comm );
MPI_Comm_create( comm, even_group, even_comm );
```

**MPI_COMM_SPLIT( comm, color, key, newcomm )**

- **Color**: control of subset assignment
- **Key**: control of rank assignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rank</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>process</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>color</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>⊥</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>⊥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>key</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 different colors ↔ 3 communicators
1. (A, D, F, G) with ranks (3, 5, 1, 1) ⇒ (F, G, A, D)
2. (C, E, I) with ranks (2, 1, 3) ⇒ (E, I, C)
3. (H) with ranks (1) ⇒ (H)

B and J get MPI_COMM_NULL as they provide an undefined color (MPI_UNDEFINED)
Intracommunicators

- Some more processes
- Two groups
- One communicator

MPI_COMM_REMOTE_SIZE(comm, size)
MPI_COMM_REMOTE_GROUP(comm, group)
MPI_COMM_TEST_INTER(comm, flag)
MPI_COMM_SIZE, MPI_COMM_RANK return the local size respectively rank

Intercommunicators

• And what’s a intercommunicator?

For any processes from group (A)
• (A) is the local group
• (B) is the remote group

For any processes from group (B)
• (A) is the remote group
• (B) is the local group

Anatomy of a Intercommunicator

It’s not possible to send a message to a process in the same group using this communicator
Intercommunicators

- **MPI_COMM_CREATE(comm, group, newcomm)**
  - All processes on the left group should execute the call with the same subgroup of processes, when all processes from the right side should execute the call with the same subgroup of processes. Each of the subgroup is related to a different side.

Intercommunicators

- **MPI.INTERCOMM.CREATE(local_comm, local_leader, bridge_comm, remote_leader, tag, new_intercomm)**
  
  - Local_comm : local intracommunicator
  - Local_leader : rank of root in the local_comm
  - Bridge_comm : "bridge" communicator …
  - Remote_leader : rank of remote leader in bridge_comm

Intercommunicators

- **MPI.INTERCOMM.MERGE( intercomm, high, intracomm)**
  - Create an intracom from the union of the two groups
  - The order of processes in the union respect the original one
  - The high argument is used to decide which group will be first (rank 0)
Example

```c
MPI_Comm inter_comm, new_inter_comm;
MPI_Group local_group, group;
int rank = 0;
if( /* left side (ie. a^*) */ ) {
    MPI_Comm_group( inter_comm, &local_group);
    MPI_Group_incl( local_group, 1, &rank, &group);
    MPI_Group_free( &local_group);
} else
    MPI_Comm_group( inter_comm, &group);
MPI_Comm_create( inter_comm, group, &new_inter_comm);
MPI_Group_free( &group);
```

---

Exercise

```
```

---

Intercommunicators – P2P

On process 0:

```c
MPI_Send(buf, MPI_INT, 1, n, tag, intercomm)
```

- Intracommunicator
- Intercommunicator
Intercommunicators – P2P

On process 0:
MPI_Send(buf, MPI_INT, 1, 0, tag, intercomm)

• Intracommunicator
• Intercommunicator

Not MPI safe if the receive was not posted before.

Communicators - Collectives

• Simple classification by operation class
• One-To-All (simplex mode)
  – One process contributes to the result. All processes receive the result.
    • MPI_Bcast
    • MPI_Scatter, MPI_Scatterv
• All-To-One (simplex mode)
  – All processes contribute to the result. One process receives the result.
    • MPI_Gather, MPI_Gatherv
    • MPI_Reduce
• All-To-All (duplex mode)
  – All processes contribute to the result. All processes receive the result.
    • MPI_Allgather, MPI_Allgatherv
    • MPI_Allreduce
    • MPI_Allreduce_scatter
• Other
  – Collective operations that do not fit into one of the above categories.
    • MPI_Scan
    • MPI_Barrier

Collectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Who generate the result</th>
<th>Who receive the result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-to-all</td>
<td>One in the local group</td>
<td>All in the local group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-to-one</td>
<td>All in the local group</td>
<td>One in the local group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-to-all</td>
<td>All in the local group</td>
<td>All in the local group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extended Collectives

From each process point of view

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Who generate the result</th>
<th>Who receive the result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-to-all</td>
<td>One in the local group</td>
<td>All in the remote group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-to-one</td>
<td>All in the local group</td>
<td>One in the remote group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-to-all</td>
<td>All in the local group</td>
<td>All in the local group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extended Collectives

- Simplex mode (ie. rooted operations)
  - A root group
    - The root use MPI_ROOT as root process
    - All others use MPI_PROC_NULL
  - A second group
    - All use the real rank of the root in the remote group
- Duplex mode (ie. non rooted operations)
  - Data send by the process in one group is received by the process in the other group and vice-versa.

Broadcast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One in the local group</th>
<th>All in the local group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-to-all</td>
<td>One in the local group</td>
<td>All in the local group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPI_Bcast(buf, 1, MPI_INT, 0, intracomm )

Before

After
**Extended Broadcast**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-to-all</th>
<th>One in the local group</th>
<th>All in the remote group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Root group root process:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root group other processes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPI_Bcast( buf, 1, MPI_INT, MPI_ROOT, intercomm )

Before

After

**Allreduce**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All-to-all</th>
<th>All in the local group</th>
<th>All in the local group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

MPI_Allreduce( sbuf, rbuf, 1, MPI_INT, +, intracomm )

Before

After

Size doesn’t matter

**Extended Allreduce**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All-to-one</th>
<th>All in the local group</th>
<th>All in the remote group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

MPI_Allreduce( sbuf, rbuf, 1, MPI_INT, +, intercomm )

Before

After

Size doesn’t matter
AllGather

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All-to-all</th>
<th>All in the local group</th>
<th>All in the local group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

MPI_Allgathersbufs, 1, MPI_INT, rbuf, 1, MPI_INT, +, intracomm

Before

After

Size does matter

Extended AllGather

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All-to-all</th>
<th>All in the local group</th>
<th>All in the remote group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

MPI_Allgathersbufs, 1, MPI_INT, rbuf, 1, MPI_INT, +, intercomm

Before

After

Size does matter?

Size does matter

Extended AllGather

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All-to-all</th>
<th>All in the local group</th>
<th>All in the remote group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

MPI_Allgathersbufs, 1, MPI_INT, rbuf, 1, MPI_INT, +, intercomm

Before

After

Size does matter
Scan/Exscan and Barrier

- Scan and Exscan are illegal on intercommunicators
- For MPI_Barrier all processes in a group may exit the barrier when all processes on the other group have entered in the barrier.