3.2.2 MESSAGE DATA

The send buffer specified by the MPI SEND operation consists of count successive entries of the type indicated by datatype, starting with the entry at address buf. Note that we specify the message length in terms of number of elements, not number of bytes. The former is machine independent and closer to the application level.

The data part of the message consists of a sequence of count values, each of the type indicated by datatype. count may be zero, in which case the data part of the message is empty. The basic datatypes that can be specified for message data values correspond to the basic datatypes of the host language. Possible values of this argument for Fortran and the corresponding Fortran types are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPI datatype</th>
<th>Fortran datatype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPI_INTEGER</td>
<td>INTEGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_REAL</td>
<td>REAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI.DOUBLE_PRECISION</td>
<td>DOUBLE PRECISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI.COMPLEX</td>
<td>COMPLEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI.LOGICAL</td>
<td>LOGICAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI.CHARACTER</td>
<td>CHARACTER(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_BYTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_PACKED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possible values for this argument for C and the corresponding C types are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPI datatype</th>
<th>C datatype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPI_CHAR</td>
<td>signed char</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_SHORT</td>
<td>signed short int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_INT</td>
<td>signed int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_LONG</td>
<td>signed long int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR</td>
<td>unsigned char</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_UNSIGNED_SHORT</td>
<td>unsigned short int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_UNSIGNED</td>
<td>unsigned int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG</td>
<td>unsigned long int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_FLOAT</td>
<td>float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI.DOUBLE</td>
<td>double</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI.LONG.DOUBLE</td>
<td>long double</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_BYTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI_PACKED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The datatypes MPI_BYTE and MPI_PACKED do not correspond to a Fortran or C datatype. A value of type MPI_BYTE consists of a byte (8 binary digits). A byte is uninterpreted and is different from a character. Different machines may have different representations for characters, or may use more than one byte to
represent characters. On the other hand, a byte has the same binary value on all machines. The use of the type MPI_PACKED is explained in Section 3.13.

MPI requires support of the datatypes listed above, which match the basic datatypes of Fortran 77 and ANSI C. Additional MPI datatypes should be provided if the host language has additional data types: MPI_LONG_LONG_INT, for (64 bit) C integers declared to be of type longlong int; MPI_DOUBLE_COMPLEX for double precision complex in Fortran declared to be of type DOUBLE_COMPLEX; MPI_REAL2, MPI_REAL4 and MPI_REAL8 for Fortran reals, declared to be of type REAL*2, REAL*4, and REAL*8, respectively; MPI_INTEGER1 MPI_INTEGER2 and MPI_INTEGER4 for Fortran integers, declared to be of type INTEGER*1, INTEGER*2, and INTEGER*4, respectively; etc.

*Rationale.* One goal of the design is to allow for MPI to be implemented as a library, with no need for additional preprocessing or compilation. Thus, one cannot assume that a communication call has information on the datatypes of variables in the communication buffer; this information must be supplied by an explicit argument. The need for such datatype information will become clear in Section 3.3.2. *(End of rationale.)*

### 3.2.3 MESSAGE ENVELOPE

In addition to the data part, messages carry information that can be used to distinguish messages and selectively receive them. This information consists of a fixed number of fields, which we collectively call the *message envelope*. These fields are

- source
- destination
- tag
- communicator

The message source is implicitly determined by the identity of the message sender. The other fields are specified by arguments in the send operation.

The message destination is specified by the dest argument.

The integer-valued message tag is specified by the tag argument. This integer can be used by the program to distinguish different types of messages. The range of valid tag values is 0, . . . , UB, where the value of UB is implementation dependent. It can be found by querying the value of the attribute MPI.TAG.UB, as described in Chapter 7. MPI requires that UB be no less than 32767.

The comm argument specifies the communicator that is used for the send operation. Communicators are explained in Chapter 5; below is a brief summary of their usage.

A communicator specifies the communication context for a communication operation. Each communication context provides a separate “communication universe;” messages are always received within the context they were sent, and messages sent in different contexts do not interfere.
The communicator also specifies the set of processes that share this communication context. This **process group** is ordered and processes are identified by their rank within this group. Thus, the range of valid values for `dest` is 0, ..., n-1, where n is the number of processes in the group. (If the communicator is an inter-communicator, then destinations are identified by their rank in the remote group. See Chapter 5.)

A predefined communicator `MPI.COMM_WORLD` is provided by MPI. It allows communication with all processes that are accessible after MPI initialization and processes are identified by their rank in the group of `MPI.COMM_WORLD`.

**Advice to users.** Users that are comfortable with the notion of a flat name space for processes, and a single communication context, as offered by most existing communication libraries, need only use the predefined variable `MPI.COMM_WORLD` as the `comm` argument. This will allow communication with all the processes available at initialization time.

Users may define new communicators, as explained in Chapter 5. Communicators provide an important encapsulation mechanism for libraries and modules. They allow modules to have their own disjoint communication universe and their own process numbering scheme. *(End of advice to users.)*

**Advice to implementors.** The message envelope would normally be encoded by a fixed-length message header. However, the actual encoding is implementation dependent. Some of the information (e.g., source or destination) may be implicit, and need not be explicitly carried by messages. Also, processes may be identified by relative ranks, or absolute ids, etc. *(End of advice to implementors.)*

### 3.2.4 BLOCKING RECEIVE

The syntax of the blocking receive operation is given below.

```
MPI_RECV (buf, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, status)
```

| OUT  | buf                          | initial address of receive buffer (choice) |
| IN   | count                       | number of elements in receive buffer (integer) |
| IN   | datatype                    | datatypen of each receive buffer element (handle) |
| IN   | source                      | rank of source (integer) |
| IN   | tag                         | message tag (integer) |
| OUT  | status                      | communicator (handle) |

```
int MPI.Recv(void* buf, int count, MPI.Datatype datatype, int source,
              int tag, MPI.Comm comm, MPI.Status *status)
```

```
MPI_RECV(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, STATUS, IERROR)
```

```c
<stdio.h>
```
INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, STATUS(MPI.STATUS_SIZE), ERROR

The blocking semantics of this call are described in Section 3.4.

The receive buffer consists of the storage containing count consecutive elements of the type specified by datatype, starting at address buf. The length of the received message must be less than or equal to the length of the receive buffer. An overflow error occurs if all incoming data does not fit, without truncation, into the receive buffer.

If a message that is shorter than the receive buffer arrives, then only those locations corresponding to the (shorter) message are modified.

Advice to users. The MPI_PROBE function described in Section 3.8 can be used to receive messages of unknown length. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. Even though no specific behavior is mandated by MPI for erroneous programs, the recommended handling of overflow situations is to return in status information about the source and tag of the incoming message. The receive operation will return an error code. A quality implementation will also ensure that no memory that is outside the receive buffer will ever be overwritten.

In the case of a message shorter than the receive buffer, MPI is quite strict in that it allows no modification of the other locations. A more lenient statement would allow for some optimizations but this is not allowed. The implementation must be ready to end a copy into the receiver memory exactly at the end of the receive buffer, even if it is an odd address. (End of advice to implementors.)

The selection of a message by a receive operation is governed by the value of the message envelope. A message can be received by a receive operation if its envelope matches the source, tag and comm values specified by the receive operation. The receiver may specify a wildcard MPLANY_SOURCE value for source, and/or a wildcard MPLANY_TAG value for tag, indicating that any source and/or tag are acceptable. It cannot specify a wildcard value for comm. Thus, a message can be received by a receive operation only if it is addressed to the receiving process, has a matching communicator, has matching source unless source = MPLANY_SOURCE in the pattern, and has a matching tag unless tag = MPLANY_TAG in the pattern.

The message tag is specified by the tag argument of the receive operation. The argument source, if different from MPLANY_SOURCE, is specified as a rank within the process group associated with that same communicator (remote process group, for inter-communicators). Thus, the range of valid values for the source argument is \{0, ..., n - 1\} \cup \{MPLANY_SOURCE\}, where n is the number of processes in this group.

Note the asymmetry between send and receive operations: A receive operation may accept messages from an arbitrary sender, on the other hand, a send
operation must specify a unique receiver. This matches a “push” communication mechanism, where data transfer is effected by the sender (rather than a “pull” mechanism, where data transfer is effected by the receiver).

Source = destination is allowed, that is, a process can send a message to itself. (However, it is unsafe to do so with the blocking send and receive operations described above, since this may lead to deadlock. See Section 3.5.)

Advice to implementors. Message context and other communicator information can be implemented as an additional tag field. It differs from the regular message tag in that wildcard matching is not allowed on this field, and that value setting for this field is controlled by communicator manipulation functions. (End of advice to implementors.)

3.2.5 RETURN STATUS

The source or tag of a received message may not be known if wildcard values were used in the receive operation. The information is returned by the status argument of MPI_RECV. The type of status is MPI-defined. Status variables need to be explicitly allocated by the user, that is, they are not system objects.

In C, status is a structure that contains two fields named MPI_SOURCE and MPI_TAG, and the structure may contain additional fields. Thus, status.MPI_SOURCE and status.MPI_TAG contain the source and tag, respectively, of the received message.

In Fortran, status is an array of integers of size MPI_STATUS_SIZE. The two constants MPI_SOURCE and MPI_TAG are the indices of the entries that store the source and tag fields. Thus status(MPI_SOURCE) and status(MPI_TAG) contain, respectively, the source and the tag of the received message.

The status argument also returns information on the length of the message received. However, this information is not directly available as a field of the status variable and a call to MPI_GET_COUNT is required to “decode” this information.

**MPI_GET_COUNT** (status, datatype, count)

| IN   | status           | return status of receive operation (Status) |
| IN   | datatype         | datatype of each receive buffer element (handle) |
| OUT  | count            | number of received elements (integer) |

```c
int MPI_Get_count(MPI_Status *status, MPI_Datatype datatype, int *count)
```

**MPI_GET_COUNT** (STATUS, DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR)

```c
INTEGER STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR
```

Returns the number of elements received. (Again, we count elements, not bytes.) The datatype argument should match the argument provided by the receive call that set the status variable. (We shall later see, in Section 3.12.5, that MPI_GET_COUNT may return, in certain situations, the value MPI_UNDEFINED.)
Rationale. Some message-passing libraries use INOUT count, tag and source arguments, thus using them both to specify the selection criteria for incoming messages and return the actual envelope values of the received message. The use of a separate status argument prevents errors that are often attached with INOUT argument (e.g., using the MPI_ANY_TAG constant as the tag in a send). Some libraries use calls that refer implicitly to the "last message received." This is not thread safe.

The datatype argument is passed to MPI.GET.COUNT so as to improve performance. A message might be received without counting the number of elements it contains, and the count value is often not needed. Also, this allows the same function to be used after a call to MPI.PROBE. (End of rationale.)

All send and receive operations use the buf, count, datatype, source, dest, tag, comm, and status arguments in the same way as the blocking MPI.SEND and MPI.RECV operations described in this section.

3.3 Data Type Matching and Data Conversion

3.3.1 TYPE MATCHING RULES

One can think of message transfer as consisting of the following three phases.

1. Data is pulled out of the send buffer and a message is assembled.
2. A message is transferred from sender to receiver.
3. Data is pulled from the incoming message and disassembled into the receive buffer.

Type matching has to be observed at each of these three phases: The type of each variable in the sender buffer has to match the type specified for that entry by the send operation; the type specified by the send operation has to match the type specified by the receive operation; and the type of each variable in the receive buffer has to match the type specified for that entry by the receive operation. A program that fails to observe these three rules is erroneous.

To define type matching more precisely, we need to deal with two issues: matching of types of the host language with types specified in communication operations; and matching of types at sender and receiver.

The types of a send and receive match (phase two) if both operations use identical names. That is, MPI_INTEGER matches MPI_INTEGER, MPI_REAL matches MPI_REAL, and so on. There is one exception to this rule, discussed in Section 3.13, the type MPI.PACKED can match any other type.

The type of a variable in a host program matches the type specified in the communication operation if the datatype name used by that operation corresponds to the basic type of the host program variable. For example, an entry with type name MPI.INTEGER matches a Fortran variable of type INTEGER. A table giving this correspondence for Fortran and C appears in Section 3.2.2.
There are two exceptions to this last rule: an entry with type name MPI_BYTE or
MPI_PACKED can be used to match any byte of storage (on a byte-addressable
machine), irrespective of the datatype of the variable that contains this byte. The
type MPI_PACKED is used to send data that has been explicitly packed, or receive
data that will be explicitly unpacked, see Section 3.13. The type MPI_BYTE allows
one to transfer the binary value of a byte in memory unchanged.

To summarize, the type matching rules fall into the three categories below.

- Communication of typed values (e.g., with datatype different from MPI_BYTE),
  where the datatypes of the corresponding entries in the sender
  program, in the send call, in the receive call, and in the receiver program
  must all match.
- Communication of untyped values (e.g., of datatype MPI_BYTE), where
  both sender and receiver use the datatype MPI_BYTE. In this case, there
  are no requirements on the types of the corresponding entries in the sender
  and the receiver programs, nor is it required that they be the same.
- Communication involving packed data, where MPI_PACKED is used.

The following examples illustrate the first two cases.

**Example 3.1** Sender and receiver specify matching types.

```fortran
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF(rank.EQ.0) THEN
   CALL MPI_SEND(a(1), 10, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
ELSE
   CALL MPI_RECV(b(1), 15, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
END IF
```

This code is correct if both a and b are real arrays of size ≥ 10. (In Fortran,
it might be correct to use this code even if a or b have size < 10: e.g., when a(1)
can be equivalenced to an array with ten reals.)

**Example 3.2** Sender and receiver do not specify matching types.

```fortran
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF(rank.EQ.0) THEN
   CALL MPI_SEND(a(1), 10, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
ELSE
   CALL MPI_RECV(b(1), 40, MPI_BYTE, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
END IF
```

This code is erroneous, since sender and receiver do not provide matching
datatype arguments.

**Example 3.3** Sender and receiver specify communication of untyped values.
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF(rank.EQ.0) THEN
   CALL MPI_SEND(a(1), 40, MPI_BYTE, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
ELSE
   CALL MPI_RECV(b(1), 60, MPI_BYTE, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
END IF

This code is correct, irrespective of the type and size of a and b (unless this results in an out of bound memory access).

Advice to users. If a buffer of type MPI_BYTE is passed as an argument to MPI_SEND, then MPI will send the data stored at contiguous locations, starting from the address indicated by the buf argument. This may have unexpected results when the data layout is not as a casual user would expect it to be. For example, some Fortran compilers implement variables of type CHARACTER as a structure that contains the character length and a pointer to the actual string. In such an environment, sending and receiving a Fortran CHARACTER variable using the MPI_BYTE type will not have the anticipated result of transferring the character string. For this reason, the user is advised to use typed communications whenever possible. (End of advice to users.)

Type MPI_CHARACTER

The type MPI_CHARACTER matches one character of a Fortran variable of type CHARACTER, rather than the entire character string stored in the variable. Fortran variables of type CHARACTER or substrings are transferred as if they were arrays of characters. This is illustrated in the example below.

Example 3.4 Transfer of Fortran CHARACTERS.

CHARACTER*10 a
CHARACTER*10 b

CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF(rank.EQ.0) THEN
   CALL MPI_SEND(a, 5, MPI_CHARACTER, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
ELSE
   CALL MPI_RECV(b(6:10), 5, MPI_CHARACTER, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
END IF

The last five characters of string b at process 1 are replaced by the first five characters of string a at process 0.

Rationale. The alternative choice would be for MPI_CHARACTER to match a character of arbitrary length. This runs into problems.
A Fortran character variable is a constant length string, with no special termination symbol. There is no fixed convention on how to represent characters, and how to store their length. Some compilers pass a character argument to a routine as a pair of arguments, one holding the address of the string and the other holding the length of string. Consider the case of an MPI communication call that is passed a communication buffer with type defined by a derived datatype (Section 3.12). If this communicator buffer contains variables of type CHARACTER then the information on their length will not be passed to the MPI routine.

This problem forces us to provide explicit information on character length with the MPI call. One could add a length parameter to the type MPI_CHARACTER, but this does not add much convenience and the same functionality can be achieved by defining a suitable derived datatype. (End of rationale.)

Advice to implementors. Some compilers pass Fortran CHARACTER arguments as a structure with a length and a pointer to the actual string. In such an environment, the MPI call needs to dereference the pointer in order to reach the string. (End of advice to implementors.)

3.3.2 DATA CONVERSION

One of the goals of MPI is to support parallel computations across heterogeneous environments. Communication in a heterogeneous environment may require data conversions. We use the following terminology.

- **type conversion** changes the datatype of a value, e.g., by rounding a REAL to an INTEGER.
- **representation conversion** changes the binary representation of a value, e.g., from Hex floating point to IEEE floating point.

The type matching rules imply that MPI communication never entails type conversion. On the other hand, MPI requires that a representation conversion be performed when a typed value is transferred across environments that use different representations for the datatype of this value. MPI does not specify rules for representation conversion. Such conversion is expected to preserve integer, logical, or character values, and to convert a floating point value to the nearest value that can be represented on the target system.

Overflow and underflow exceptions may occur during floating point conversions. Conversion of integers or characters may also lead to exceptions when a value that can be represented in one system cannot be represented in the other system. An exception occurring during representation conversion results in a failure of the communication. An error occurs either in the send operation, or the receive operation, or both.

If a value sent in a message is untyped (i.e., of type MPI_BYTE), then the binary representation of the byte stored at the receiver is identical to the binary representation of the byte loaded at the sender. This holds true, whether sender
and receiver run in the same or in distinct environments. No representation conversion is required. (Note that representation conversion may occur when values of type MPI_CHARACTER or MPI_CHAR are transferred, for example, from an EBCDIC encoding to an ASCII encoding.)

No conversion need occur when an MPI program executes in a homogeneous system, where all processes run in the same environment.

Consider the three examples, 3.1–3.3. The first program is correct, assuming that \(a\) and \(b\) are REAL arrays of size \(\geq 10\). If the sender and receiver execute in different environments, then the ten real values that are fetched from the send buffer will be converted to the representation for reals on the receiver site before they are stored in the receive buffer. While the number of real elements fetched from the send buffer equal the number of real elements stored in the receive buffer, the number of bytes stored need not equal the number of bytes loaded. For example, the sender may use a four byte representation and the receiver an eight byte representation for reals.

The second program is erroneous, and its behavior is undefined.

The third program is correct. The exact same sequence of forty bytes that were loaded from the send buffer will be stored in the receive buffer, even if sender and receiver run in a different environment. The message sent has exactly the same length (in bytes) and the same binary representation as the message received. If \(a\) and \(b\) are of different types, or if they are of the same type but different data representations are used, then the bits stored in the receive buffer may encode values that are different from the values they encoded in the send buffer.

Data representation conversion also applies to the envelope of a message: source, destination, and tag are all integers that may need to be converted.

Advice to implementors. The current definition does not require messages to carry data type information. Both sender and receiver provide complete data type information. In a heterogeneous environment, one can either use a machine independent encoding such as XDR, or have the receiver convert from the sender representation to its own, or even have the sender do the conversion.

Additional type information might be added to messages in order to allow the system to detect mismatches between datatype at sender and receiver. This might be particularly useful in a slower but safer debug mode. (End of advice to implementors.)

MPI does not require support for inter-language communication. The behavior of a program is undefined if messages are sent by a C process and received by a Fortran process, or vice versa.

Rationale. MPI does not handle inter-language communication because there are no agreed standards for the correspondence between C types and Fortran types. Therefore, MPI programs that mix languages would not port. (End of rationale.)
3.4 Communication Modes

The send call described in Section 3.2.1 is **blocking**: it does not return until the message data and envelope have been safely stored away so that the sender is free to access and overwrite the send buffer. The message might be copied directly into the matching receive buffer, or it might be copied into a temporary system buffer.

Message buffering decouples the send and receive operations. A blocking send can complete as soon as the message was buffered, even if no matching receive has been executed by the receiver. On the other hand, message buffering can be expensive, as it entails additional memory-to-memory copying, and it requires the allocation of memory for buffering. MPI offers the choice of several communication modes that allow one to control the choice of the communication protocol.

The send call described in Section 3.2.1 used the **standard** communication mode. In this mode, it is up to MPI to decide whether outgoing messages will be buffered. MPI may buffer outgoing messages. In such a case, the send call may complete before a matching receive is invoked. On the other hand, buffer space may be unavailable, or MPI may choose not to buffer outgoing messages, for performance reasons. In this case, the send call will not complete until a matching receive has been posted, and the data has been moved to the receiver.

Thus, a send in standard mode can be started whether or not a matching receive has been posted. It may complete before a matching receive is posted. The standard mode send is **non-local**: successful completion of the send operation may depend on the occurrence of a matching receive.

**Rationale.** The reluctance of MPI to mandate whether standard sends are buffering or not stems from the desire to achieve portable programs. Since any system will run out of buffer resources as message sizes are increased, and some implementations may want to provide little buffering, MPI takes the position that correct (and therefore, portable) programs do not rely on system buffering in standard mode. Buffering may improve the performance of a correct program, but it doesn’t affect the result of the program. If the user wishes to guarantee a certain amount of buffering, the user-provided buffer system of Section 3.6 should be used, along with the buffered-mode send. (End of rationale.)

There are three additional communication modes.

A **buffered** mode send operation can be started whether or not a matching receive has been posted. It may complete before a matching receive is posted. However, unlike the standard send, this operation is **local**, and its completion
does not depend on the occurrence of a matching receive. Thus, if a send is
executed and no matching receive is posted, then MPI must buffer the outgoing
message, so as to allow the send call to complete. An error will occur if there is
insufficient buffer space. The amount of available buffer space is controlled by
the user—see Section 3.6. Buffer allocation by the user may be required for the
buffered mode to be effective.

A send that uses the synchronous mode can be started whether or not a
matching receive was posted. However, the send will complete successfully only
if a matching receive is posted, and the receive operation has started to receive
the message sent by the synchronous send. Thus, the completion of a syn-
chronous send not only indicates that the send buffer can be reused, but also
indicates that the receiver has reached a certain point in its execution, namely
that it has started executing the matching receive. If both sends and receives
are blocking operations then the use of the synchronous mode provides syn-
chronous communication semantics: a communication does not complete at
either end before both processes rendezvous at the communication. A send
executed in this mode is non-local.

A send that uses the ready communication mode may be started only if the
matching receive is already posted. Otherwise, the operation is erroneous and its
outcome is undefined. On some systems, this allows the removal of a hand-shake
operation that is otherwise required and results in improved performance. The
completion of the send operation does not depend on the status of a match-
ing receive, and merely indicates that the send buffer can be reused. A send
operation that uses the ready mode has the same semantics as a standard send
operation, or a synchronous send operation; it is merely that the sender provides
additional information to the system (namely that a matching receive is already
posted), that can save some overhead. In a correct program, therefore, a ready
send could be replaced by a standard send with no effect on the behavior of the
program other than performance.

Three additional send functions are provided for the three additional com-
munication modes. The communication mode is indicated by a one-letter prefix:
B for buffered, S for synchronous, and R for ready.

**MPI_BSEND (buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>buf</td>
<td>initial address of send buffer (choice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>number of elements in send buffer (integer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>datatype</td>
<td>datatype of each send buffer element (handle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dest</td>
<td>rank of destination (integer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tag</td>
<td>message tag (integer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comm</td>
<td>communicator (handle)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```c
int MPI_Bsend(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest,
               int tag, MPI_Comm comm)
```
MPI BSEND (BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR)
  <type> BUF(*)
  INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR

Send in buffered mode.

MPI SSEND (buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm)

IN buf initial address of send buffer (choice)
IN count number of elements in send buffer (integer)
IN datatype datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
IN dest rank of destination (integer)
IN tag message tag (integer)
IN comm communicator (handle)

int MPI_Ssend(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest,
               int tag, MPI_Comm comm)

MPI SSEND (BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR)
  <type> BUF(*)
  INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR

Send in synchronous mode.

MPI RSEND (buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm)

IN buf initial address of send buffer (choice)
IN count number of elements in send buffer (integer)
IN datatype datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
IN dest rank of destination (integer)
IN tag message tag (integer)
IN comm communicator (handle)

int MPI_Rsend(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest,
               int tag, MPI_Comm comm)

MPI RSEND (BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR)
  <type> BUF(*)
  INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR

Send in ready mode.

There is only one receive operation, which can match any of the send modes. The receive operation described in the last section is blocking; it returns only after the receive buffer contains the newly received message. A receive can complete before the matching send has completed (of course, it can complete only after the matching send has started).
In a multi-threaded implementation of MPI, the system may de-schedule a thread that is blocked on a send or receive operation, and schedule another thread for execution in the same address space. In such a case it is the user's responsibility not to access or modify a communication buffer until the communication completes. Otherwise, the outcome of the computation is undefined.

**Rationale.** We prohibit read accesses to a send buffer while it is being used, even though the send operation is not supposed to alter the content of this buffer. This may seem more stringent than necessary, but the additional restriction causes little loss of functionality and allows better performance on some systems—consider the case where data transfer is done by a DMA engine that is not cache-coherent with the main processor. *(End of rationale.)*

**Advice to implementors.** Since a synchronous send cannot complete before a matching receive is posted, one will not normally buffer messages sent by such an operation.

It is recommended to choose buffering over blocking the sender, whenever possible, for standard sends. The programmer can signal his or her preference for blocking the sender until a matching receive occurs by using the synchronous send mode.

A possible communication protocol for the various communication modes is outlined below.

- **ready send:** The message is sent as soon as possible.
- **synchronous send:** The sender sends a request-to-send message. The receiver stores this request. When a matching receive is posted, the receiver sends back a permission-to-send message, and the sender now sends the message.
- **standard send:** First protocol may be used for short messages, and second protocol for long messages.
- **buffered send:** The sender copies the message into a buffer and then sends it with a nonblocking send (using the same protocol as for standard send).

Additional control messages might be needed for flow control and error recovery. Of course, there are many other possible protocols.

Ready send can be implemented as a standard send. In this case there will be no performance advantage (or disadvantage) for the use of ready send.

A standard send can be implemented as a synchronous send. In such a case, no data buffering is needed. However, many (most?) users expect some buffering.

In a multi-threaded environment, the execution of a blocking communication should block only the executing thread, allowing the thread scheduler to de-schedule this thread and schedule another thread for execution. *(End of advice to implementors.)*
3.5 Semantics of Point-to-Point Communication

A valid MPI implementation guarantees certain general properties of point-to-point communication, which are described in this section.

Order Messages are non-overtaking: If a sender sends two messages in succession to the same destination, and both match the same receive, then this operation cannot receive the second message if the first one is still pending. If a receiver posts two receives in succession, and both match the same message, then the second receive operation cannot be satisfied by this message, if the first one is still pending. This requirement facilitates matching of sends to receives. It guarantees that message-passing code is deterministic, if processes are single-threaded and the wildcard MPI_ANY_SOURCE is not used in receives. (Some of the calls described later, such as MPICANCEL or MPIWAITANY, are additional sources of nondeterminism.)

If a process has a single thread of execution, then any two communications executed by this process are ordered. On the other hand, if the process is multi-threaded, then the semantics of thread execution may not define a relative order between two send operations executed by two distinct threads. The operations are logically concurrent, even if one physically precedes the other. In such a case, the two messages sent can be received in any order. Similarly, if two receive operations that are logically concurrent receive two successively sent messages, then the two messages can match the two receives in either order.

Example 3.5 An example of non-overtaking messages.

CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
   CALL MPI_BSEND(buf1, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
   CALL MPI_BSEND(buf2, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
ELSE ! rank.EQ.1
   CALL MPI_RECV(buf1, count, MPI_REAL, 0, MPI_ANY_TAG, comm, status, ierr)
   CALL MPI_RECV(buf2, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
END IF

The message sent by the first send must be received by the first receive, and the message sent by the second send must be received by the second receive.

Progress If a pair of matching send and receives have been initiated on two processes, then at least one of these two operations will complete, independently of other actions in the system: the send operation will complete, unless the receive is satisfied by another message, and completes; the receive operation will complete, unless the message sent is consumed by another matching receive that was posted at the same destination process.
Example 3.6 An example of two, intertwined matching pairs.

CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
    CALL MPI_BSEND(buf1, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag1, comm, ierr)
    CALL MPI_SSEND(buf2, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag2, comm, ierr)
ELSE ! rank.EQ.1
    CALL MPI_RECV(buf1, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag2, comm, status, ierr)
    CALL MPI_RECV(buf2, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag1, comm, status, ierr)
END IF

Both processes invoke their first communication call. Since the first send of
process zero uses the buffered mode, it must complete, irrespective of the state
of process one. Since no matching receive is posted, the message will be copied
into buffer space. (If insufficient buffer space is available, then the program will
fail.) The second send is then invoked. At that point, a matching pair of send
and receive operations is enabled, and both operations must complete. Process
one next invokes its second receive call, which will be satisfied by the buffered
message. Note that process one received the messages in the reverse order they
were sent.

Fairness  MPI makes no guarantee of fairness in the handling of communica-
tion. Suppose that a send is posted. Then it is possible that the destination
process repeatedly posts a receive that matches this send, yet the message is
never received, because it is each time overtaken by another message, sent from
another source. Similarly, suppose that a receive was posted by a multi-threaded
process. Then it is possible that messages that match this receive are repeatedly
received, yet the receive is never satisfied, because it is overtaken by other re-
cieves posted at this node (by other executing threads). It is the programmer's
responsibility to prevent starvation in such situations.

Resource limitations  Any pending communication operation consumes system
resources that are limited. Errors may occur when lack of resources prevent the
execution of an MPI call. A quality implementation will use a (small) fixed
amount of resources for each pending send in the ready or synchronous mode
and for each pending receive. However, buffer space may be consumed to store
messages sent in standard mode, and must be consumed to store messages sent
in buffered mode, when no matching receive is available. The amount of space
available for buffering will be much smaller than program data memory on
many systems. Then, it will be easy to write programs that overrun available
buffer space.

MPI allows the user to provide buffer memory for messages sent in the
buffered mode. Furthermore, MPI specifies a detailed operational model for
the use of this buffer. An MPI implementation is required to do no worse than
implied by this model. This allows users to avoid buffer overflows when they use buffered sends. Buffer allocation and use is described in Section 3.6.

A buffered send operation that cannot complete because of a lack of buffer space is erroneous. When such a situation is detected, an error is signalled that may cause the program to terminate abnormally. On the other hand, a standard send operation that cannot complete because of lack of buffer space will merely block, waiting for buffer space to become available or for a matching receive to be posted. This behavior is preferable in many situations. Consider a situation where a producer repeatedly produces new values and sends them to a consumer. Assume that the producer produces new values faster than the consumer can consume them. If buffered sends are used, then a buffer overflow will result. Additional synchronization has to be added to the program so as to prevent this from occurring. If standard sends are used, then the producer will be automatically throttled, as its send operations will block when buffer space is unavailable.

In some situations, a lack of buffer space leads to deadlock. This is illustrated by the examples below.

**Example 3.7** An exchange of messages.

```
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
   CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
   CALL MPI_RECV(recevbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, status, ierr)
ELSE
   CALL MPI_RECV(recevbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
   CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, ierr)
END IF
```

This program will succeed even if no buffer space for data is available. The standard send operation can be replaced, in this example, with a synchronous send.

**Example 3.8** An attempt to exchange messages.

```
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
   CALL MPI_RECV(recevbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, status, ierr)
   CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
ELSE
   CALL MPI_RECV(recevbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
   CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, ierr)
END IF
```

The receive operation of the first process must complete before its send, and can complete only if the matching send of the second processor is executed. The receive operation of the second process must complete before its send and
can complete only if the matching send of the first process is executed. This program will always deadlock. The same holds for any other send mode.

**Example 3.9** An exchange that relies on buffering.

```fortran
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
    CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
    CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, status, ierr)
ELSE IF rank.EQ.1
    CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, ierr)
    CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
END IF
```

The message sent by each process has to be copied out before the send operation returns and the receive operation starts. For the program to complete, it is necessary that at least one of the two messages sent be buffered. Thus, this program can succeed only if the communication system can buffer at least count words of data.

*Advice to users.* When standard send operations are used, then a deadlock situation may occur where both processes are blocked because buffer space is not available. The same will certainly happen, if the synchronous mode is used. If the buffered mode is used, and not enough buffer space is available, then the program will not complete either. However, rather than a deadlock situation, we will have a buffer overflow error.

A program is “safe” if no message buffering is required for the program to complete. One can replace all sends in such program with synchronous sends, and the program will still run correctly. This conservative programming style provides the best portability, since program completion does not depend on the amount of buffer space available or in the communication protocol used.

Many programmers prefer to have more leeway and be able to use the “unsafe” programming style shown in example 3.9. In such cases, the use of standard sends is likely to provide the best compromise between performance and robustness: quality implementations will provide sufficient buffering so that “common practice” programs will not deadlock. The buffered send mode can be used for programs that require more buffering, or in situations where the programmer wants more control. This mode might also be used for debugging purposes, as buffer overflow conditions are easier to diagnose than deadlock conditions.

Nonblocking message-passing operations, as described in Section 3.7, can be used to avoid the need for buffering outgoing messages. This prevents deadlocks due to lack of buffer space, and improves performance, by allowing overlap of computation and communication, and avoiding the
overheads of allocating buffers and copying messages into buffers. (*End of
advice to users.*)

3.6 Buffer Allocation and Usage

A user may specify a buffer to be used for buffering messages sent in buffered
mode. Buffering is done by the sender.

MPI.BUFFER_ATTACH( buffer, size)

IN    buffer    initial buffer address (choice)
IN    size      buffer size, in bytes (integer)

int MPI.Buffer.attach( void* buffer, int size)

MPI.BUFFER_ATTACH( BUFFER, SIZE, IERROR)
  <type> BUFFER(*)
  INTEGER SIZE, IERROR

Provides to MPI a buffer in the user’s memory to be used for buffering
outgoing messages. The buffer is used only by messages sent in buffered mode.
Only one buffer can be attached to a process at a time.

MPI.BUFFER_DETACH( buffer, size)

OUT   buffer    initial buffer address (choice)
OUT   size      buffer size, in bytes (integer)

int MPI.Buffer.detach( void** buffer, int* size)

MPI.BUFFER_DETACH( BUFFER, SIZE, IERROR)
  <type> BUFFER(*)
  INTEGER SIZE, IERROR

Detach the buffer currently associated with MPI. This operation will block
until all messages currently in the buffer have been transmitted. Upon return
of this function, the user may reuse or deallocate the space taken by the buffer.

The statements made in this section describe the behavior of MPI for buf-
fered-mode sends. When no buffer is currently associated, MPI behaves as if a
zero-sized buffer is associated with the process.

MPI must provide as much buffering for outgoing messages as if outgoing
message data were buffered by the sending process, in the specified buffer space,
using a circular, contiguous-space allocation policy. We outline below a model
implementation that defines this policy. MPI may provide more buffering, and
may use a better buffer allocation algorithm than described below. On the other
hand, MPI may signal an error whenever the simple buffering allocator described
below would run out of space. In particular, if no buffer is explicitly associated
with the process, then any buffered send may cause an error.
MPI does not provide mechanisms for querying or controlling buffering done by standard mode sends. It is expected that vendors will provide such information for their implementations.

Rationale. There is a wide spectrum of possible implementations of buffered communication: buffering can be done at sender, at receiver, or both; buffers can be dedicated to one sender-receiver pair, or be shared by all communications; buffering can be done in real or in virtual memory; it can use dedicated memory, or memory shared by other processes; buffer space may be allocated statically or be changed dynamically; etc. It does not seem feasible to provide a portable mechanism for querying or controlling buffering that would be compatible with all these choices, yet provide meaningful information. (End of rationale.)

3.6.1 MODEL IMPLEMENTATION OF BUFFERED MODE

The model implementation uses the packing and unpacking functions described in Section 3.13 and the nonblocking communication functions described in Section 3.7.

We assume that a circular queue of pending message entries (PME) is maintained. Each entry contains a communication request handle that identifies a pending nonblocking send, a pointer to the next entry and the packed message data. The entries are stored in successive locations in the buffer. Free space is available between the queue tail and the queue head.

A buffered send call results in the execution of the following code.

- Traverse sequentially the PME queue from head toward the tail, deleting all entries for communications that have completed, up to the first entry with an uncompleted request; update queue head to point to that entry.
- Compute the number, n, of bytes needed to store entry for new message (length of packed message computed with MPI_PACK_SIZE plus space for request handle and pointer).
- Find the next contiguous empty space of n bytes in buffer (space following queue tail, or space at start of buffer if queue tail is too close to end of buffer). If space not found then raise buffer overflow error.
- Append to end of PME queue in contiguous space the new entry that contains request handle, next pointer, and packed message data; MPI_PACK is used to pack data.
- Post nonblocking send (standard mode) for packed data.
- Return

3.7 Nonblocking Communication

One can improve performance on many systems by overlapping communication and computation. This is especially true on systems where communication can be executed autonomously by an intelligent communication controller. Light-
weight threads are one mechanism for achieving such overlap. An alternative mechanism that often leads to better performance is to use nonblocking communication. A nonblocking send start call initiates the send operation, but does not complete it. The send start call will return before the message was copied out of the send buffer. A separate send complete call is needed to complete the communication, i.e., to verify that the data has been copied out of the send buffer. With suitable hardware, the transfer of data out of the sender memory may proceed concurrently with computations done at the sender after the send was initiated and before it completed. Similarly, a nonblocking receive start call initiates the receive operation, but does not complete it. The call will return before a message is stored into the receive buffer. A separate receive complete call is needed to complete the receive operation and verify that the data has been received into the receive buffer. With suitable hardware, the transfer of data into the receiver memory may proceed concurrently with computations done after the receive was initiated and before it completed. The use of nonblocking receives may also avoid system buffering and memory-to-memory copying, as information is provided early on the location of the receive buffer.

Nonblocking send start calls can use the same four modes as blocking sends: standard, buffered, synchronous and ready. These carry the same meaning. Sends of all modes, ready excepted, can be started whether a matching receive has been posted or not; a nonblocking ready send can be started only if a matching receive is posted. In all cases, the send start call is local: it returns immediately, irrespective of the status of other processes. If the call causes some system resource to be exhausted, then it will fail and return an error code. Quality implementations of MPI should ensure that this happens only in “pathological” cases. That is, an MPI implementation should be able to support a large number of pending nonblocking operations.

The send-complete call returns when data has been copied out of the send buffer. It may carry additional meaning, depending on the send mode.

If the send mode is synchronous, then the send can complete only if a matching receive has started. That is, a receive has been posted, and has been matched with the send. In this case, the send-complete call is non-local. Note that a synchronous, nonblocking send may complete, if matched by a nonblocking receive, before the receive complete call occurs. (It can complete as soon as the sender “knows” the transfer will complete, but before the receiver “knows” the transfer will complete.)

If the send mode is buffered then the message must be buffered if there is no pending receive. In this case, the send-complete call is local, and must succeed irrespective of the status of a matching receive.

If the send mode is standard then the send-complete call may return before a matching receive occurred, if the message is buffered. On the other hand, the send-complete may not complete until a matching receive occurred, and the message was copied into the receive buffer.

Nonblocking sends can be matched with blocking receives, and vice versa.
Advice to users. The completion of a send operation may be delayed, for standard mode, and must be delayed, for synchronous mode, until a matching receive is posted. The use of nonblocking sends in these two cases allows the sender to proceed ahead of the receiver, so that the computation is more tolerant of fluctuations in the speeds of the two processes.

Nonblocking sends in the buffered and ready modes have a more limited impact. A nonblocking send will return as soon as possible, whereas a blocking send will return after the data has been copied out of the sender memory. The use of nonblocking sends is advantageous in these cases only if data copying can be concurrent with computation.

The message-passing model implies that communication is initiated by the sender. The communication will generally have lower overhead if a receive is already posted when the sender initiates the communication (data can be moved directly to the receive buffer, and there is no need to queue a pending send request). However, a receive operation can complete only after the matching send has occurred. The use of nonblocking receives allows one to achieve lower communication overheads without blocking the receiver while it waits for the send. (End of advice to users.)

3.7.1 Communication Objects
Nonblocking communications use opaque request objects to identify communication operations and match the operation that initiates the communication with the operation that terminates it. These are system objects that are accessed via a handle. A request object identifies various properties of a communication operation, such as the send mode, the communication buffer that is associated with it, its context, the tag and destination arguments to be used for a send, or the tag and source arguments to be used for a receive. In addition, this object stores information about the status of the pending communication operation.

3.7.2 Communication Initiation
We use the same naming conventions as for blocking communication: a prefix of B, S, or R is used for buffered, synchronous or ready mode. In addition a prefix of I (for immediate) indicates that the call is nonblocking.

MPI.ISEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN</th>
<th>buf</th>
<th>initial address of send buffer (choice)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>count</td>
<td>number of elements in send buffer (integer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>datatype</td>
<td>datatype of each send buffer element (handle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>dest</td>
<td>rank of destination (integer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>message tag (integer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>comm</td>
<td>communicator (handle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>request</td>
<td>communication request (handle)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
int MPI.Isend(void* buf, int count, MPI.Datatype datatype, int dest,
     int tag, MPI.Comm comm, MPI.Request *request)

MPI.ISEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
     <type> BUF(*)
     INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

Start a standard mode, nonblocking send.

MPI.ISBSEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request)

IN    buf            initial address of send buffer (choice)
IN    count          number of elements in send buffer (integer)
IN    datatype       datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
IN    dest           rank of destination (integer)
IN    tag            message tag (integer)
IN    comm           communicator (handle)
OUT   request        communication request (handle)

int MPI.Isend(void* buf, int count, MPI.Datatype datatype, int dest,
     int tag, MPI.Comm comm, MPI.Request *request)

MPI.ISSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
     <type> BUF(*)
     INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

Start a buffered mode, nonblocking send.

MPI.ISSEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request)

IN    buf            initial address of send buffer (choice)
IN    count          number of elements in send buffer (integer)
IN    datatype       datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
IN    dest           rank of destination (integer)
IN    tag            message tag (integer)
IN    comm           communicator (handle)
OUT   request        communication request (handle)

int MPI.Isend(void* buf, int count, MPI.Datatype datatype, int dest,
     int tag, MPI.Comm comm, MPI.Request *request)

MPI.ISSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
     <type> BUF(*)
     INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

Start a synchronous mode, nonblocking send.
MPI.IRSEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request)

IN    buf          initial address of send buffer (choice)
IN    count        number of elements in send buffer (integer)
IN    datatype     datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
IN    dest         rank of destination (integer)
IN    tag          message tag (integer)
IN    comm         communicator (handle)
OUT   request      communication request (handle)

int MPI.Irsend(void* buf, int count, MPI.Datatype datatype, int dest,
               int tag, MPI.Comm comm, MPI.Request *request)

MPI.IRSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
            
<type> BUF(*)
            
INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

Start a ready mode nonblocking send.

MPI.IRECV (buf, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, request)

OUT   buf          initial address of receive buffer (choice)
IN    count        number of elements in receive buffer (integer)
IN    datatype     datatype of each receive buffer element (handle)
IN    source       rank of source (integer)
IN    tag          message tag (integer)
IN    comm         communicator (handle)
OUT   request      communication request (handle)

int MPI.Irecv(void* buf, int count, MPI.Datatype datatype, int source,
               int tag, MPI.Comm comm, MPI.Request *request)

MPI.IRECV(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
            
<type> BUF(*)
            
INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

Start a nonblocking receive.

These calls allocate a communication request object and associate it with
the request handle (the argument request). The request can be used later to query
the status of the communication or wait for its completion.

A nonblocking send call indicates that the system may start copying data out
of the send buffer. The sender should not access any part of the send buffer
after a nonblocking send operation is called, until the send completes.

A nonblocking receive call indicates that the system may start writing data
into the receive buffer. The receiver should not access any part of the receive
buffer after a nonblocking receive operation is called, until the receive com-
pletes.