

# Relative Perturbation Bounds for the Unitary Polar Factor \*

Ren-Cang Li  
Mathematical Science Section  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory  
P.O. Box 2008, Bldg 6012  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6367  
(li@msr.epm.ornl.gov)

*LAPACK working notes # 83 (first published July, 1994, revised January, 1996)*

## Abstract

Let  $B$  be an  $m \times n$  ( $m \geq n$ ) complex matrix. It is known that there is a unique polar decomposition  $B = QH$ , where  $Q^*Q = I$ , the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, and  $H$  is positive definite, provided  $B$  has full column rank. Existing perturbation bounds for complex matrices suggest that in the worst case, the change in  $Q$  be proportional to the reciprocal of the smallest singular value of  $B$ . However, there are situations where this unitary polar factor is much more accurately determined by the data than the existing perturbation bounds would indicate. In this paper the following question is addressed: how much may  $Q$  change if  $B$  is perturbed to  $\tilde{B} = D_1^*BD_2$ ? Here  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are nonsingular and close to the identity matrices of suitable dimensions. It will be proved that for such kinds of perturbations, the change in  $Q$  is bounded only by the distances from  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  to identity matrices, and thus independent of the singular values of  $B$ .

---

\*This material is based in part upon work supported, during January, 1992–August, 1995, by Argonne National Laboratory under grant No. 20552402 and by the University of Tennessee through the Advanced Research Projects Agency under contract No. DAAL03-91-C-0047, by the National Science Foundation under grant No. ASC-9005933, and by the National Science Infrastructure grants No. CDA-8722788 and CDA-9401156, and supported, since August, 1995, by a Householder Fellowship in Scientific Computing at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, supported by the Applied Mathematical Sciences Research Program, Office of Energy Research, United States Department of Energy contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Lockheed Martin Energy System, Inc. Part of this work was done during summer of 1994 while the author was at Department of Mathematics, University of California at Berkeley.

Let  $B$  be an  $m \times n$  ( $m \geq n$ ) complex matrix. It is known that there are  $Q$  with orthonormal column vectors, i.e.,  $Q^*Q = I$ , and a unique positive semidefinite  $H$  such that

$$B = QH. \quad (1)$$

Hereafter  $I$  denotes an identity matrix with appropriate dimensions which should be clear from the context or specified. The decomposition (1) is called the *polar decomposition* of  $B$ . If, in addition,  $B$  has full column rank, then  $Q$  is uniquely determined also. In fact,

$$H = (B^*B)^{1/2}, \quad Q = B(B^*B)^{-1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where superscript “ $*$ ” denotes conjugate transpose. The decomposition (1) can also be computed from the *singular value decomposition* (SVD)  $B = U\Sigma V^*$  by

$$H = V\Sigma_1V^*, \quad Q = U_1V^*, \quad (3)$$

where  $U = (U_1, U_2)$  and  $V$  are unitary,  $U_1$  is  $m \times n$ ,  $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\Sigma_1 = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$  is nonnegative.

There are several published bounds stating how much the two factor matrices  $Q$  and  $H$  may change if entries of  $B$  are perturbed in arbitrary manner [2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14]. In these papers, no assumption was made on how  $B$  was perturbed to  $\tilde{B}$  except possibly an assumption on the smallness of  $\|\tilde{B} - B\|$  for some matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Roughly speaking, bounds in these published papers suggest that in the worst case, the change in  $Q$  be proportional to the reciprocal of the smallest singular value of  $B$ .

In this paper, on the other hand, we study the change in  $Q$ , assuming  $B$  is complex and perturbed to  $\tilde{B} = D_1^*BD_2$ , where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are nonsingular and close to the identity matrices of suitable dimensions. Such perturbations covers, for example, component-wise relative perturbations to entries of symmetric tridiagonal matrices with zero diagonal [5, 7], entries of bidiagonal and biacyclic matrices [1, 4, 5]. Our results indicate that under such perturbations, the change in  $Q$  is independent of the smallest singular value of  $B$ .

Assume that  $B$  has full column rank and so does  $\tilde{B} = D_1^*BD_2$ . Let

$$B = QH, \quad \tilde{B} = \tilde{Q}\tilde{H} \quad (4)$$

be the polar decompositions of  $B$  and  $\tilde{B}$  respectively, and let

$$B = U\Sigma V^*, \quad \tilde{B} = \tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^* \quad (5)$$

be the SVDs of  $B$  and  $\tilde{B}$ , respectively, where  $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2)$ ,  $\tilde{U}_1$  is  $m \times n$ , and  $\tilde{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Sigma}_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1 = \text{diag}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_n)$ . Assume as usual that

$$\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}_1 \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\sigma}_n > 0. \quad (6)$$

It follows from (2) and (5) that  $Q = U_1V^*$  and  $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{U}_1\tilde{V}^*$ .

In what follows,  $\|X\|_F$  denotes the Frobenius norm which is the square root of the trace of  $X^*X$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{U}^*(\tilde{B} - B)V &= \tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^*V - \tilde{U}^*U\Sigma, \\ \tilde{U}^*(\tilde{B} - B)V &= \tilde{U}^*(D_1^*BD_2 - D_1^*B + D_1^*B - B)V \\ &= \tilde{U}^*[\tilde{B}(I - D_2^{-1}) + (D_1^* - I)B]V \\ &= \tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^*(I - D_2^{-1})V + \tilde{U}^*(D_1^* - I)U\Sigma,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}U^*(\tilde{B} - B)\tilde{V} &= U^*\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma} - \Sigma V^*\tilde{V}, \\ U^*(\tilde{B} - B)\tilde{V} &= U^*(D_1^*BD_2 - BD_2 + BD_2 - B)\tilde{V} \\ &= U^*[(I - D_1^{-*})\tilde{B} + B(D_2 - I)]\tilde{V} \\ &= U^*(I - D_1^{-*})\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma} + \Sigma V^*(D_2 - I)\tilde{V}.\end{aligned}$$

to obtain two perturbation equations:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^*V - \tilde{U}^*U\Sigma = \tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^*(I - D_2^{-1})V + \tilde{U}^*(D_1^* - I)U\Sigma, \quad (7)$$

$$U^*\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma} - \Sigma V^*\tilde{V} = U^*(I - D_1^{-*})\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma} + \Sigma V^*(D_2 - I)\tilde{V}. \quad (8)$$

The first  $n$  rows of equation (7) yields

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_1\tilde{V}^*V - \tilde{U}_1^*U_1\Sigma_1 = \tilde{\Sigma}_1\tilde{V}^*(I - D_2^{-1})V + \tilde{U}_1^*(D_1^* - I)U_1\Sigma_1. \quad (9)$$

The first  $n$  rows of equation (8) yields

$$U_1^*\tilde{U}_1\tilde{\Sigma}_1 - \Sigma_1 V^*\tilde{V} = U_1^*(I - D_1^{-*})\tilde{U}_1\tilde{\Sigma}_1 + \Sigma_1 V^*(D_2 - I)\tilde{V},$$

on taking conjugate transpose of which, one has

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_1\tilde{U}_1^*U_1 - \tilde{V}^*V\Sigma_1 = \tilde{\Sigma}_1\tilde{U}_1^*(I - D_1^{-1})U_1 + \tilde{V}^*(D_2^* - I)V\Sigma_1. \quad (10)$$

Now subtracting (10) from (9) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}_1(\tilde{U}_1^*U_1 - \tilde{V}^*V) + (\tilde{U}_1^*U_1 - \tilde{V}^*V)\Sigma_1 \\ = \tilde{\Sigma}_1[\tilde{U}_1^*(I - D_1^{-1})U_1 - \tilde{V}^*(I - D_2^{-1})V] + [\tilde{V}^*(D_2^* - I)V - \tilde{U}_1^*(D_1^* - I)U_1]\Sigma_1.\end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

To continue, we need a lemma from Li [10].

**Lemma 1** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times s}$  and  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{C}^{t \times t}$  be two Hermitian matrices, and let  $E, F \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times t}$ . If  $\lambda(\Omega) \cap \lambda(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ , where  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is the spectrum of a matrix, then matrix equation  $\Omega X - X\Gamma = \Omega E + F$ , has a unique solution, and moreover  $\|X\|_F \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \|F\|_F^2} / \eta$ ,*

where  $\eta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{\omega \in \lambda(\Omega), \gamma \in \lambda(\Gamma)} \frac{|\omega - \gamma|}{\sqrt{|\omega|^2 + |\gamma|^2}}$ .

Apply this lemma to equation (11) with  $\Omega = \tilde{\Sigma}_1$ ,  $\sigma_j = -\Sigma_1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \tilde{U}_1^* U_1 - \tilde{V}^* V = \tilde{V}^* (\tilde{V} \tilde{U}_1^* U_1 V^* - I) V = \tilde{V}^* (\tilde{Q}^* Q - I) V, \\ E &= \tilde{U}_1^* (I - D_1^{-1}) U_1 - \tilde{V}^* (I - D_2^{-1}) V, \\ \tilde{E} &= \tilde{V}^* (D_2^* - I) V - \tilde{U}_1^* (D_1^* - I) U_1 \end{aligned}$$

to get  $\|X\|_F = \|\tilde{Q}^* Q - I\|_F \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \|\tilde{E}\|_F^2} / \eta$ , where  $\eta = \min_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_i + \sigma_j}{\sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}_i^2 + \sigma_j^2}} \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 1** *Let  $B$  and  $\tilde{B} = D_1^* B D_2$  be two  $m \times n$  ( $m \geq n$ ) complex matrices having full column rank and with polar decompositions (4). Then*

$$\|Q - \tilde{Q}\|_F \leq \sqrt{\left(\|I - D_1^{-1}\|_F + \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F\right)^2 + (\|D_2 - I\|_F + \|D_1 - I\|_F)^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|I - D_1^{-1}\|_F^2 + \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F^2 + \|D_2 - I\|_F^2 + \|D_1 - I\|_F^2}. \quad (13)$$

*Proof:* Inequality (13) follows from (12) by the fact that  $(\alpha + \beta)^2 \leq 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ . Now we prove (12). When  $m = n$ , both  $Q$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  are unitary. Thus  $\|\tilde{Q}^* Q - I\|_F = \|\tilde{Q}^*(Q - \tilde{Q})\|_F = \|Q - \tilde{Q}\|_F$ . Inequality (12) is a consequence of

$$\|E\|_F \leq \|I - D_1^{-1}\|_F + \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{E}\|_F \leq \|D_2^* - I\|_F + \|D_1^* - I\|_F. \quad (14)$$

For the case  $m > n$ , the last  $m - n$  rows of equation (8) produce that

$$U_2^* \tilde{U}_1 \tilde{\Sigma} = U_2^* (I - D_1^{-*}) \tilde{U}_1 \tilde{\Sigma}_1.$$

Since  $\tilde{B}$  has full column rank,  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1$  is nonsingular. We have  $U_2^* \tilde{U}_1 = U_2^* (I - D_1^{-*}) \tilde{U}_1$ . Thus

$$\|U_2^* \tilde{U}_1\|_F \leq \|U_2^* (I - D_1^{-*})\|_F = \|(I - D_1^{-1}) U_2\|_F. \quad (15)$$

Notice that  $(U_1 V^*, U_2) = (Q, U_2)$  is unitary. Hence  $U_2^* Q = 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q - \tilde{Q}\|_F &= \|(Q, U_2)^*(Q - \tilde{Q})\|_F = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} I - Q^* \tilde{Q} \\ -U_2^* \tilde{Q} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_F \\ &= \sqrt{\|I - Q^* \tilde{Q}\|_F^2 + \|-U_2^* \tilde{U}_1 \tilde{V}^*\|_F^2} \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \|\tilde{E}\|_F^2 + \|U_2^* \tilde{U}_1\|_F^2}. \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

By (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|E\|_F^2 + \|U_2^* \tilde{U}_1\|_F^2 &\leq \left(\|(I - D_1^{-1}) U_1\|_F + \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F\right)^2 + \|(I - D_1^{-1}) U_2\|_F^2 \\ &= \|(I - D_1^{-1}) U_1\|_F^2 + 2\|(I - D_1^{-1}) U_1\|_F \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F + \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F^2 \\ &\quad + \|(I - D_1^{-1}) U_2\|_F^2 \\ &\leq \|(I - D_1^{-1}) U_1\|_F^2 + \|(I - D_1^{-1}) U_2\|_F^2 \\ &\quad + 2\|I - D_1^{-1}\|_F \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F + \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F^2 \\ &= \|I - D_1^{-1}\|_F^2 + 2\|I - D_1^{-1}\|_F \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F + \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F^2 \\ &= \left(\|I - D_1^{-1}\|_F + \|I - D_2^{-1}\|_F\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (12) is a consequence of (16), (14), and the above inequalities.  $\blacksquare$

Now we are in the position to apply Theorem 1 to perturbations for one-side scaling. Here we consider two  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrices  $B = S^*G$  and  $\tilde{B} = S^*\tilde{G}$ , where  $S$  is a scaling matrix and usually diagonal. (But this is not necessary to the theorem below.) The elements of  $S$  can vary wildly.  $G$  is nonsingular and usually better conditioned than  $B$  itself. Set

$$\Delta G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{G} - G.$$

$\tilde{G}$  is guaranteed nonsingular if  $\|\Delta G\|_2 \|G^{-1}\|_2 < 1$  which will be assumed henceforth. Notice that

$$\tilde{B} = S^*\tilde{G} = S^*(G + \Delta G) = S^*G(I + G^{-1}(\Delta G)) = B(I + G^{-1}(\Delta G)).$$

So applying Theorem 1 with  $D_1 = 0$  and  $D_2 = I + G^{-1}(\Delta G)$  leads to

**Theorem 2** *Let  $B = S^*G$  and  $\tilde{B} = S^*\tilde{G}$  be two  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrices with the polar decompositions (4). If  $\|\Delta G\|_2 \|G^{-1}\|_2 < 1$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q - \tilde{Q}\|_F &\leq \sqrt{\|G^{-1}(\Delta G)\|_F^2 + \left\|I - (I + G^{-1}(\Delta G))^{-1}\right\|_F^2}, \\ &\leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{(1 - \|G^{-1}\|_2 \|\Delta G\|_2)^2}} \|G^{-1}\|_2 \|\Delta G\|_F. \end{aligned}$$

One can deal with one-side scaling from the right in the same way.

**Acknowledgement:** I thank Professor W. Kahan for his supervision and Professor J. Demmel for valuable discussions.

## References

- [1] J. Barlow and J. Demmel. Computing accurate eigensystems of scaled diagonally dominant matrices. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 27:762–791, 1990.
- [2] A. Barrlund. Perturbation bounds on the polar decomposition. *BIT*, 30:101–113, 1990.
- [3] C.-H. Chen and J.-G. Sun. Perturbation bounds for the polar factors. *J. Comp. Math.*, 7:397–401, 1989.
- [4] J. Demmel and W. Gragg. On computing accurate singular values and eigenvalues of matrices with acyclic graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Application*, 185:203–217, 1993.
- [5] J. Demmel and W. Kahan. Accurate singular values of bidiagonal matrices. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, 11:873–912, 1990.
- [6] N. J. Higham. Computing the polar decomposition—with applications. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, 7:1160–1174, 1986.
- [7] W. Kahan. Accurate eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix. Technical Report CS41, Computer Science Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 1966. (revised June 1968).
- [8] C. Kenney and A. J. Laub. Polar decomposition and matrix sign function condition estimates. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, 12:488–504, 1991.
- [9] R.-C. Li. A perturbation bound for the generalized polar decomposition. *BIT*, 33:304–308, 1993.
- [10] R.-C. Li. Relative perturbation theory: (ii) eigenspace and singular subspace variations. Technical Report UCB//CSD-94-856, Computer Science Division, Department of EECS, University of California at Berkeley, 1994. (revised January 1996).
- [11] R.-C. Li. New perturbation bounds for the unitary polar factor. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 16:327–332, 1995.
- [12] J.-Q. Mao. The perturbation analysis of the product of singular vector matrices  $UV^H$ . *J. Comp. Math.*, 4:245–248, 1986.
- [13] R. Mathias. Perturbation bounds for the polar decomposition. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 14:588–597, 1993.
- [14] J.-G. Sun and C.-H. Chen. Generalized polar decomposition. *Math. Numer. Sinica*, 11:262–273, 1989. In Chinese.